



The **19th** Annual Advanced


# Pain Conference

and **Practical Workshop**

Budapest, Hungary, August 25-27, 2014

The **26th FIPP Examination**

Budapest, Hungary, August 28, 2014



**Program  
Book and  
Syllabus**

# Content

Greetings .....	3.
WIP Council .....	4.
Faculty .....	5.
General Information .....	6.
Scientific Program August 25 .....	10.
Scientific Program August 26 .....	11.
Scientific Program August 27 .....	12.
Awards Ceremony .....	14.
Syllabus .....	16.
Authors Index .....	38.
Industry Technical Presentations .....	39.
Acknowledgement .....	40.
Exhibitor and Sponsor Profiles .....	41.



# GREETINGS

## Dear Colleagues and Friends,

We extend a warm invitation to our friends and future friends to join us in Budapest, Hungary for the 19th Annual Budapest Advanced Interventional Conference-Workshops August 25-27, 2014. The faculty will have significant information to make your practice of interventional pain safer and help you serve your patients.

The Budapest Conference program is a product of input from the Scientific Program Committee, as well as significant input from all the program committee members named on our printed program. The local arrangement chairperson, Edit Racz, has served in this role for over 20 years. To encourage membership and participation from the host country, we from the very beginning set up a registration system to make it affordable for them to attend. We have and will observe all requirements to maintain the highest level of certification for continuing medical education credits of our Budapest program.

The 2014 Budapest program will have new topics that will make you stand out among your home-based colleagues when you return home. Some rare problems will be discussed. Significant learning takes place during the cadaver workshop and in discussions with individuals as well as the lectures and the topics and the question-answer periods. There is a lot to learn and a lot to teach. I believe our tradition of shared learning/teaching will be maintained and you will have a fantastic experience where you will be better than when you arrived, and be challenged with the desire to teach and make the whole field of interventional pain management better. The ambience, the dinners, the entertainment, and the friendships will be similar to past Budapest Conferences. The venue is unbeatable. Budapest is waiting for you.

We acknowledge with gratitude the sponsors who make this event possible as well as those of you who will come and make the Budapest Conference successful. Without participants, we have nothing. We are very much looking forward to seeing you August 25-26-27, 2014 in Budapest followed on August 28 by the 26th FIPP Examination.

With best personal regards to all,

**Gabor B. Racz, MD, DABIPP, FIPP**  
Director – Budapest Conference  
Grover E. Murray Professor,  
Professor and Chairman Emeritus at TTUHSC  
Founder and Past President WIP  
Member of WIP Executive Board

**James E. Heavner, DVM, PhD, FIPP (Hon)**  
Co-Program Director Budapest Conference  
FIPP Examination Registrar

# WIP Council

## President WIP

**Richard L. Rauck**, MD, FIPP, President - USA

## Executive Board

**Kris C.P. Vissers**, MD, PhD, FIPP, President-Elect – The Netherlands

**Charles A. Gauci**, MD, FRCA, FIPP, FFPMRCA, Honorary Secretary – UK

**Ira B. Fox**, MD, DABPM, FIPP, Chair, Honorary Treasurer – USA

**Ricardo Ruiz-López**, MD, FIPP, Founder and Immediate Past President – Spain

**Serdar Erdine**, MD, FIPP, Founder and Past President – Turkey

**Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP, Founder and Past President – USA

**P. Prithvi Raj**, MD, DABIPP, DABPM, FIPP, Founder and Past President – USA

**Craig T. Hartrick**, MD, DABPM, FIPP, Editor-in-Chief, Pain Practice – USA

**Magdi Ramzi Iskander**, MD, FFARCS, FIPP, Chair, Board of Sections – Egypt

**Andrea M. Trescot**, MD, FIPP, DABIPP, Chair, Advisory Board – USA

**Maarten van Kleef**, MD, PhD, FIPP, Chair, Board of Examination – The Netherlands

**Dianne L. Willard**, Executive Officer – USA

## Section Chairs

**Anwar Arshad**, MD, FIPP - Malaysia

**Diego Beltrutti**, MD, FIPP – Italy

**Meir Bennun**, MD, FIPP – Israel

**Jianguo Cheng**, MD, PhD, FIPP – USA

**Frantz J. Cólimon**, MD, FIPP – Colombia

**Peter G. Courtney**, MBBS, FIPP - Australia

**Fabricio Dias Assis**, MD, FIPP – Brazil

**Juan Carlos Flores**, MD, FIPP – Latin America

**Subrata Ray**, MD, FIPP - India

**Pauline Du Plessis**, MD, FRCA, FIPP – Africa

**Magdi Ramzi Iskander**, MD, FFARCS, FIPP – Middle East

**Edvin Koshi**, MD, FRCA, FIPP – Canada

**Sang Chul Lee**, MD, PhD, FIPP – NE Asia

**Martin Marianowicz**, MD, FIPP – Central-Eastern Europe

**Philippe Mavrocordatos**, MD, FIPP – Switzerland

**Patrick R. McGowan**, MBChB, FRCA, FIPP, FFPMRCA – UK

**Nuri Süleyman Özyalçın**, MD, FIPP - Turkey

**Carmen Pichot**, MD, FIPP - Iberian

**Edit Racz**, MD, FIPP - Hungary

**José R. Rodríguez Hernández**, MD, FIPP – Puerto Rico

**Arman Taheri**, MD, FIPP - Iran

**Athina Vadalouca**, MD, PhD, FIPP – Mediterranean

**Jan Van Zundert**, MD, PhD, FIPP - Benelux

**Alex Sow Nam Yeo**, MD, PhD, FIPP - SE Asia

## FIPP Examination Board

### Chair

**Maarten van Kleef**, MD, PhD, FIPP

### Liaison to WIP

**Ricardo Ruiz-López**, MD, FIPP

### Registrar

**James E. Heavner**, DVM, PhD, FIPP (Hon)

**Monique Steegers**, MD, PhD, FIPP

### Directors

**Charles Amaral de Oliveira**, MD, FIPP

**Sang Chul Lee**, MD, PhD, FIPP

**Patrick R. McGowan**, MBChB, FRCA, FIPP, FFPMRCA

**Alex Sow Nam Yeo**, MD, PhD, FIPP

**Neels de Villiers**, MD, FIPP

**Jan Van Zundert**, MD, PhD, FIPP

### Conference Organizers

**Program Director: Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP

**Co-Director: James E. Heavner**, DVM, PhD, FIPP (Hon)

### Local Arrangement Committee

**Chair: Edit Racz**, MD, FIPP

**Agnes Stogicza**, MD, FIPP

**Lorand Eross**, MD, PhD, FIPP

### Conference Secretariat

**CongressLine Ltd.**

**Sandra Vamos** [vamos@congressline.hu](mailto:vamos@congressline.hu)

**Bea Golovanova** [golob@congressline.hu](mailto:golob@congressline.hu)

H-1065 Budapest, Révay köz 2.

Phone: +361 429 0146, Fax: +361 429 0147

### Faculty

**Mert Akbas**, MD, FIPP (Turkey)

**Adnan A. Al-Kaisy**, MB ChB, FIPP (UK)

**Javier de Andres**, MD, FIPP (Spain)

**Aaron Calodney**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Kenneth B. Chapman**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Miles Day**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Charles de Oliveira**, MD, FIPP (Brazil)

**Sudhir Diwan**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Serdar Erdine**, MD, FIPP (Turkey)

**Lorand Eross**, MD, PhD, FIPP (Hungary)

**Ira B. Fox**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Ludger Gerdesmeyer**, MD, PhD, FIPP (Germany)

**Michael Gofeld**, MD, FIPP (Canada)

**Craig Hartrick**, MD, DABPM, FIPP (USA)

**James E. Heavner**, DVM, PhD, FIPP(HON) (USA)

**Standiford Helm**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Sang Chul Lee**, MD, PhD, FIPP (South Korea)

**Robert Levy**, MD (USA)

**Laxmaiah Manchikanti**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**John Nelson**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Carl Noe**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Edit Racz**, MD, FIPP (Hungary)

**Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP (USA)

**P. Prithvi Raj**, MD, DABIPP, DABPM, FIPP (USA)

**Richard L. Rauck**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Ricardo Ruiz-López**, MD, FIPP (Spain)

**Matthew Rupert**, MD, FIPP (USA)

**Peter Staats**, MD, MBA, FIPP (USA)

**Agnes Stogicza**, MD, FIPP (Hungary)

**Andrea Trescot**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP (USA)

**Maarten van Kleef**, MD, PhD, FIPP (The Netherlands)

**Kris Vissers**, MD, FIPP (The Netherlands)

**Jan Peter Warnke**, MD (Germany)

**Richard Weiner**, MD (USA)



# General Information

## Conference Dates

**The 19th Annual Advanced Interventional Pain Conference & Practical Workshop**  
August 25-27, 2014

## Conference Site

**Kempinski Hotel Corvinus Budapest – Regina Ballroom**  
H-1051 Budapest, Erzsébet tér 7-8.

## Practical Workshop

**Semmelweis University Laboratories**  
H-1091 Budapest, Üllői út 93.  
Daily bus transfers are provided within the venues.

## The 26th FIPP Examination

August 28, 2014  
**Venue: Semmelweis University Laboratories**  
H-1091 Budapest, Üllői út 93.

## Conference Website

[www.congressline.hu/pain2014](http://www.congressline.hu/pain2014)

## Language

The official language of the Conference is English.

## CME Accreditation and Designation

The 'World Institute of Pain (WIP)' (or) 'The 19th Annual Advanced Interventional Pain Conference and Practical Workshop' is accredited by the European Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (EACCME) to provide the following CME activity for medical specialists. The EACCME is an institution of the European Union of Medical Specialists (UEMS), [www.uems.net](http://www.uems.net).

**The 'The 19th Annual Advanced Interventional Pain Conference and Practical Workshop' is designated for a maximum of (or 'for up to') 18 hours of European external CME credits.** Each medical specialist should claim only those hours of credit that he/she actually spent in the educational activity. Through an agreement between the European Union of Medical Specialists and the American Medical Association, physicians may convert EACCME credits to an equivalent number of AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Information on the process to convert EACCME credit to AMA credit can be found at [www.ama-assn.org/go/internationalcme](http://www.ama-assn.org/go/internationalcme).

Live educational activities, occurring outside of Canada, recognized by the UEMS-EACCME for ECMEC credits are deemed to be Accredited Group Learning Activities (Section 1) as defined by the Maintenance of Certification Program of The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada.

## Opening Hours of the Registration Desk at Hotel Kempinski

Sunday, August 24	14.00 – 19.30
Monday, August 25	07.00 – 13.30
Tuesday, August 26	07.00 – 13.30
Wednesday, August 27	07.00 – 13.30
FIPP Exam Registration at Hotel Kempinski	
Wednesday, August 27	16.00 – 19.00

## Registration Fee

(Regular Fees after July 15, 2014)	
Pain Conference & Practical Workshop	1600 Euro
Pain Conference	1150 Euro
Accompanying person fee	350 Euro
FIPP Exam registration fee	2500 USD

## Meals

Coffee breaks, lunches, welcome cocktail and award ceremony dinner are included in the registration fee.

## Internet

Free of charge Wi-Fi service available at the venue.

## Commercial Exhibition

The exhibition will be opened from Monday, August 25 until August 27 at the Hotel Kempinski Ballroom foyer. Delegates will have the opportunity to meet representatives of pharmaceutical and diagnostic equipment companies at their stands to discuss new developments and receive up-to-date product information.

## Hotels

**Kempinski Hotel Corvinus Budapest \*\*\*\*\*** (Conference venue)  
H-1051 Budapest, Erzsébet tér 7-8.  
**Hotel Central Basilica\*\*\***  
H-1051 Budapest, Hercegprímás u. 8.

## Official Social Events

### Faculty Dinner (only for Faculty Members)

**Sunday, August 24, 2014, 19.00-21.00**  
**Baltazar Grill (1014 Budapest, Országház utca 31.)**  
Dress Code: business casual  
**Meeting point: Hotel Kempinski lobby at 18.30**

### Welcome Cocktail (for all registered guests)

**Monday, August 25, 2014, 20.00-22.00**  
**Kempinski Hotel, Regina Ballroom**  
**Program:** Csillagszemű Dance Ensemble  
Dress Code: Business casual

### Award Ceremony Dinner (for all registered guests)

**Tuesday, August 26, 2014, 20.00-23.00**  
**Vigado Concert Hall (1051 Budapest, Vigadó tér 2.)**  
**Program:** Award Ceremony and Monarchia String Quartet  
Dress Code: formal  
**Meeting point: Hotel Kempinski lobby at 19.30**

# Useful Information

## How to get to the Conference Venue (Hotel Kempinski)

To reach the Conference Venue there are several means of transport:

**Metro station** "Deák Ferenc tér" junction (M1 – yellow line, M2 – red line, M3 – blue line)

**From the airport to the conference venue** use the Airport Minibus Service, fixed rates for passengers (Fixed rate: 2990 HUF / cca 11 EUR one-way from the airport to the Kempinski Hotel Corvinus Budapest or to inner city hotels), Tel: +36 1 296 8555; [www.airportshuttle.hu](http://www.airportshuttle.hu) or use the PAIN2014 Recommended Taxi Company (Rate: 7-9000 HUF = cca 23-30 Euro).

## Recommended Taxi Company

To reach the Hotels or the Congress Venue and to avoid any inconvenience, please use the official PAIN2014 taxi company: City Taxi, Phone: +36 1 211 1111, [www.citytaxi.hu](http://www.citytaxi.hu)

Credit card payment is available in every car of City Taxi.

Please note, that all licensed Budapest taxi companies have yellow cars and has same rates for all companies, placed clearly visible on the screens. Airport - Kempinski route fares should be around 7000-9000 HUF.



## Climate

The climate of Budapest is continental. In August usually nice warm weather can be expected with a max. temperature of 25-28°C, while the lowest temperature during the night ranging between 12-15 °C. Nevertheless some rainy days can be expected.

## Insurance

The registration fees do not include provision for the insurance of participants against personal accidents, illness, cancellation, theft, property loss or damage. Participants are advised to take adequate personal travel insurance.

## Currency

The Forint (HUF) is the official national currency. The exchange rates applied in Budapest banks, official exchange offices and hotels may vary. All the major credit cards are accepted in Hungary in places displaying the emblem at the entrance. Exchange rate: 1 Euro = 312 HUF in July, 2014

## Credit Cards

In general, VISA, EC/MC and American Express credit cards are accepted in most restaurants, cafés, shops and petrol stations.

## Stores and Shopping

The opening hours of Budapest stores are generally 10.00-18.00 on weekdays and 10.00-13.00 on Saturday. The shopping centers are open from 10.00-21.00 from Monday to Saturday and from 10.00-18.00 on Sunday.

## Pharmacies

Budapest's pharmacies (gyógyszertár in Hungarian) are well stocked and can provide medicaments for most common ailments. Each of the 23 districts has an all-night pharmacy open every day, a sign on the door of any pharmacy will help you locate the closest one.

## Electricity

The voltage in Hungary is 230V, 50 Hz AC.

## Parking

If you drive a personal or rented car, always try to park at a guarded parking lot and do not leave any valuables in the car. Please note, that Budapest is divided into paying areas, with one parking meter in each street. The maximum parking time duration is 2 hours, tariffs may vary.



# Detailed Program

## MONDAY, August 25 2014 Regina Ballroom

- 07:40**      **Opening Remarks**  
**Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP, Program Director  
**Richard L. Rauck**, MD, FIPP, President of WIP  
**Edit Racz**, MD, FIPP, Chair Local Committee
- Moderator:**    **Serdar Erdine**, MD, FIPP
- 08:00**      **Spinal Pain**  
**Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP
- 08:30**      **Drugs and Pumps for Intrathecal Drug Delivery**  
**Richard L. Rauck**, MD, FIPP
- 09:00**      **The State of Interventional Pain Management**  
**Laxmaiah Manchikanti**, MD, FIPP
- 09:30**      **Neuromodulation for Pain Therapy**  
**Aaron Calodney**, MD, FIPP
- 10:00**      **Tarlov Cysts and Vertebral Body Stabilization**  
**Jan Peter Warnke**, MD
- 10:30**      **Coffee Break**
- Moderator:**    **John Nelson**, MD, FIPP
- 11:00**      **RF – New Ideas Update**  
**Ricardo Ruiz-López**, MD, FIPP
- 11:30**      **Ultrasound Imaging for Cryoneurolysis**  
**Andrea Trescot**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP
- 12:00**      **Vertebral Augmentation 2014**  
**Matthew Rupert**, MD, FIPP
- 12:30**      **Impartial Evidence Based Guidelines**  
**Standiford Helm**, MD, FIPP
- 13:00**      **Lunch**
- 13:30**      **Transport to University**  
**Labs Afternoon workshop**

## TUESDAY, August 26 2014 Regina Ballroom

**Moderators:**    **Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP, **Ira B. Fox**, MD, DABPM, FIPP

**07:30 – 09:00**    **Industry Technical Presentations**  
(not part of CME program; see industry section of program on page 39.)

**Moderator:**    **Javier de Andres**, MD, FIPP

**09:00**      **Radiofrequency Facet Denervation**  
**Michael Gofeld**, MD, FIPP

**09:30**      **Opioid Management**  
**Carl Noe**, MD, FIPP

**10:00**      **Coffee Break**

**Moderator:**    **Mert Akbas**, MD, FIPP

**10:30**      **Update on Epidural Adhesiolysis Studies**  
**Ludger Gerdesmeyer**, MD, PhD, FIPP

**11:00**      **Failed Neck Surgery Syndrome**  
**Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP

**11:30**      **Botulinum Toxin, Its Properties and Use in Pain Medicine**  
**Miles Day**, MD, FIPP

**12:00**      **Spinal and Systemic Opioid Therapy**  
**Peter Staats**, MD, MBA, FIPP

**12:30**      **Progress Report – High Frequency Spinal Cord Stimulation  
in the Management of Axial Back Pain**  
**Adnan A. Al-Kaisy**, MB ChB, FIPP

**13:00**      **Lunch**

**13:30**      **Transport to University**  
**Labs Afternoon workshops**





**WEDNESDAY, August 27 2014**

**Regina Ballroom**

**Moderator:** **Kenneth B. Chapman, MD, FIPP**

**07:30** **Guidelines for Radiation Safety**  
**Charles de Oliveira, MD, FIPP**

**08:00** **Recent Advances and Future Perspectives in the Management of Cancer Pain**  
**Kris Vissers, MD, FIPP**

**08:30** **Lumbosacral Spinal Canal Endoscopy**  
**James E. Heavner, DVM, PhD, FIPP(Hon)**

**09:00** **Current Status of Managing Pain Associated with Spinal Stenosis: My Technique**  
**Lorand Eross, MD, PhD, FIPP**  
**Miles Day, MD, FIPP**

**09:30** **Experience with a New Neuromodulation System**  
**Richard Weiner, MD**

**10:00** **Neurosurgical Approaches to Chronic Pain Management**  
**Lorand Eross, MD, PhD, FIPP**

**10:30** **Coffee Break**

**Moderator:** **Sudhir Diwan, MD, FIPP**

**11:00** **Facial Pain and Cervicogenic Headache**  
**Miles Day, MD, FIPP**

**11:30** **Use of Ultrasound in Interventional Pain Therapy**  
**Sang Chul Lee, MD, PhD, FIPP**

**Moderator:** **Maarten van Kleef, MD, FIPP, P. Prithvi Raj, MD, DABIPP, DABPM, FIPP**

**12:00** **Editors Roundtable Discussion – Why Articles Do Not Get Accepted**  
**Craig Hartrick, MD, DABPM, FIPP**  
**Robert Levy, MD**

**12:45** **Lunch**

**13:30** **Transport to University**  
Labs Afternoon workshops



# FIPP Awards Ceremony

**Master of Ceremonies:** Maarten van Kleef, MD, FIPP

**Opening Remarks:** Local Organizing Committee

- Edit Racz, MD, FIPP
- Lorand Eross, MD PhD, FIPP

**Honored Guest:** Eva Keller, MD

**Speaker:** Richard L. Rauck, MD, FIPP

Presentation of Certificates to Fellows of Interventional Pain Practice (FIPP)  
WIP Board of Examination Members and WIP Executive Board Members  
FIPP honorees from Budapest 2013 and Maastricht 2014 FIPP Examinations

## Budapest FIPP Examination September 2013

771	<b>Abdulmuhsen AlSahhaf</b>	Kuwait
772	<b>Louise Brennan</b>	Australia
773	<b>Charles André Carazzo</b>	Brazil
774	<b>Vivek Vitthalrao Chakole</b>	India
775	<b>Timmy Chi Wing Chan</b>	Hong Kong
776	<b>Manuel Cifrian Perez</b>	Spain
777	<b>Surana Arti Jain Darda</b>	India
778	<b>Basabjit Das</b>	Ireland
779	<b>Christ Declerck</b>	Belgium
780	<b>Dolue David Ezeanolue</b>	USA
781	<b>Christopher James Brian Frandrup</b>	USA
782	<b>Maria Josepha Christina Franssen</b>	The Netherlands
783	<b>Ivón González Valcárcel</b>	Spain
784	<b>Amitabh Gulati</b>	USA
785	<b>Ketut Ngurah Gunapriya</b>	Indonesia
786	<b>Husham Abdulameer Hasan</b>	Iraq
787	<b>Kim Hattingh</b>	Australia
788	<b>Ashok Jadon</b>	India
789	<b>Charlotte Sarah Hope Johnstone</b>	Australia
790	<b>Gopalsamy Kandasamy Kumar</b>	India
791	<b>Sangwon Kwak</b>	South Korea
792	<b>Gabriela Rocha Lauretti</b>	Brazil
793	<b>Young Jae Lee</b>	South Korea
794	<b>Tadhy Lynch</b>	Ireland
795	<b>Shahzad Maqbool</b>	UAE
796	<b>Avtar Singh Matharoo</b>	India
797	<b>Agustin Mendiola</b>	Spain
798	<b>Slawomir Milhulka</b>	Poland
799	<b>Sampath Sanjay Prabhu</b>	Australia
800	<b>Heino Torsten Prillwitz</b>	Switzerland

801	<b>Muhammad Jamil Sabit</b>	Pakistan
802	<b>Emad Gerges Saleh</b>	Egypt
803	<b>Yusak Mangara Tua Siahaan</b>	Indonesia
804	<b>Anil Soni</b>	UK
805	<b>Eliezer Soto</b>	USA
806	<b>Zakari Aliyu Suleiman</b>	Nigeria
807	<b>Lee Ka Tam</b>	Hong Kong
808	<b>Charng Jeng Toh</b>	Malaysia
809	<b>Thais Khouri Vanetti</b>	Brazil
810	<b>Claus Wilhelmi</b>	Germany

## Maastricht FIPP Examination May 2014

811	<b>Saad Anis</b>	New Zealand
812	<b>Constance Breeveld</b>	The Netherlands
813	<b>Awisul Islah bin Ghazali</b>	Malaysia
814	<b>Yasmine Hoydonckx</b>	Belgium
815	<b>Natasja Johanna Geertruida Maandag</b>	The Netherlands
816	<b>Arlex Mosquera Espinosa</b>	Colombia
817	<b>Thi Kim Hoang Nguyen</b>	Belgium
818	<b>Robert Jan Quist</b>	The Netherlands
819	<b>Shovan Kumar Rath</b>	India
820	<b>Dirk Schoorens</b>	Belgium
821	<b>Mischa Johan Gerardus Simon</b>	The Netherlands
822	<b>Jeroen Steenhuisen</b>	The Netherlands
823	<b>Bart Van bets</b>	Belgium
824	<b>Johannes van den Berg</b>	The Netherlands
825	<b>Sandra van den Heuvel</b>	The Netherlands





# Syllabus

## GABOR B. RACZ, MD, DABIPP, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. Racz graduated from The University of Liverpool Medical School, completed his residency at State University of New York and served on staff there until 1978. At Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center in Lubbock, Texas he is Grover Murray Professor, Professor and Chair Emeritus in Department of Anesthesiology and Co-Director of Pain Services. Dr. Racz is Director of the Annual Advanced Pain Conference and Practical Workshop in Budapest since the first conference in 1996. He is a Founder and Past President of WIP, currently serving on the WIP Executive Board as well as Executive Board of American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians. He is a Founder and first President of Texas Pain Society and Director of the annual TTUHSC Pain Symposium from 1983-2012. He is widely published in book chapters, journal articles and three books describing his techniques in spinal cord and peripheral nerve stimulation, neurolysis, radiofrequency thermocoagulation and other interventional procedures, and he travels around the world lecturing and instructing workshops. He has received numerous recognitions and awards from organizations around the world including Distinguished Professor Award for Lifetime Achievement from Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center and the Lifetime Achievement Award from American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians.

### LECTURE:

#### SPINAL PAIN

Since the 2013 presentation of a similar topic at the last Budapest conference there have been new exciting additions to the technique that will make the procedure of percutaneous lysis of adhesions more effective and particularly helpful, especially in patients suffering from back pain and lower extremity radiculopathy. The new information recognized to be helpful includes a systematic review of percutaneous lysis of adhesions procedure in spinal stenosis by Stan Helm et al indicating that the procedure is effective in spinal stenosis but furthermore that there have not been any published hematomas as a consequence of the procedure.

Secondly, a series of articles, especially from Korea by Koh and Park, have carried out significant independent studies leading to better understanding of role of transforaminal injections in spinal stenosis and radiculopathy-type patients. These patients inevitably have back pain and radiculopathy. Reading of the articles leads you to the conclusion that small volume transforaminal injection works on radiculopathy but not on back pain. However, Koh's study of lateral recess stenosis with larger volume of injection points out that it not only worked on radiculopathy but also on back pain. This information substantially furthers our understanding of the role of volumes and medications used in these procedures.

A review of percutaneous lysis of adhesions published this year in Neurosurgical Science does a good job of identifying the key misunderstanding that true evidence does not only come from publications based on controlled studies but also clinical experience and reading of publications that specifically describe the procedure.

The fundamental issue is found when people mention "vascular runoff;" where they actually refer to "venous runoff." Venous runoff occurs during first-time procedures when the lateral recess stenosis/scarring have not yet been opened up. Placing the catheter in the ventral-lateral epidural space leads to fluid foramotomy that frees up not only the nerve root but opens up the large venous runoff in the lumbar venous plexus as well as the thoracic and cervical venous plexus that are not totally interconnected. Beautiful venous dissection will be shown to explain the concept. What almost 30 years of clinical experience tells us is that what may be seen on first time use is venous runoff at a distant point from the needle tip in a scarred down epidural space because of the distended high pressure vein. It is easier for the fluid to dissect into a vein than to open a neural foramen. In the presence of runoff, the procedure is not terminated through the needle; the catheter is advanced to the target nerve root in the ventral-lateral epidural space. The fluid pressure by contrast, saline, hyaluronidase, followed by local anesthetic and steroid, opens up the neural

foramen and converts the high pressure veins to low pressure veins in the lumbar epidural space.

Also, another point raised in the review by Koh et al is that hypertonic saline interferes with restoration of fibrocyte function. The relevance of lively fibrocytes is that scarring will occur more rapidly and the amazing therapeutic efficacy of hypertonic saline includes interference with fibrocyte regeneration following fluid resection of epidural scarring. In the clinical experience arena, we see inhibition of scar tissue regeneration for multiple years and the observation is in fact very useful to explain why percutaneous lysis of adhesions works so effectively and such a long duration.

Teske and colleagues from Germany have identified a unique 1.1 ml space between the DRGs of L5 nerve root and S1 DRG as the "G spot" (Gabor spot or German spot). This space is located almost in front of the most commonly disrupted lumbar disc, the L5 disc. Also a space that has been described for small injection goes a long way and multi-thousand small injections have been carried out with a small gauge interlaminar sharp needle as a consequence of this description. Independently, Tomikichi Matsumoto brought to our attention in a 6 month prospective evaluation study for pain reduction and functional restoration by placing through the posterior S1 neural foramen a VERSA-KATH epidural catheter medial to the S1 nerve root he injected contrast saline, Hylenex, local anesthesia, steroid, and hypertonic saline, he had good improvement in measured parameters. Matsumoto failed to recognize the relevance of the "G spot" identified by Teske et al. Putting together all of the above changed the recognition that a subgroup of patients where lysis of adhesions is difficult to get catheter to the sacral hiatus to the ventral-lateral L4 or L5 neural foramina. This is because once the inverted "G spot" fills up with scar tissue it ties the L5, S1 nerve roots together and blocks the catheter from reaching target area. The additional highly relevant aspect of this observation is that patients that suffer from severe back pain from L4-L5 disc involvement respond superbly well to the modification of all of the above described. The clinical experience leads to the need to reproduce the back pain in the patient to point to the location the back pain is coming from. There is inevitable radiculopathy that will need to be addressed later or can be done at the same time but at the risk of using considerably increased volume of fluids. This technique leads to new algorithm for the the trans S1, where the 18g RX-2 Coudè is placed under fluoroscopic guidance, rotated cephalad, aiming slightly medially with a slight bend on catheter tip. The target site is between the S1 and L5 DRGs to the "G spot" and described by Teske et al. Injection sequence as follows under fluoro guidance in AP view: 10 ml of OMNIPAQUE 240 (or other water soluble, non-ionic contrast), 10 ml 150 units of Hylenex (or other hyaluronidase) in saline, 10 ml of 0.2% ropivacaine (or 0.25% bupivacaine), 4mg dexamethasone (or equivalent steroid), 20-30 minutes later 8-10 ml 10% hypertonic saline. The above solution amazingly spread and commonly opens up bilaterally L4, L5, S1, and S2 nerve roots with lateral runoff assured from the injection of large volumes. Remarkably, pain relief occurs as soon as the procedure is terminated, where dural tug back pain disappears and radiculopathy is dramatically improved. The suggestion for including trans S1 percutaneous lysis with the three repeat injection of similar volumes helps the seriously scarred nerved roots and establishment of better blood supply and functional recovery including improved motor function.

### REFERENCES

1. Helm II S, Benyamin RM, Chopra P, et al. Percutaneous adhesiolysis in the management of chronic low back pain in post lumbar surgery syndrome and spinal stenosis: A systematic review. *Pain Physician* 2012; 15:E435-E462.
2. Jamison DE, Hsu E, Cohen SP. Epidural Adhesiolysis: an evidenced-based review. *J Neurosurg Sci* 2014; 58: 65-76.
3. Manchikanti L, Helm S II, Pampati V, et al. Cost utility analysis of percutaneous adhesiolysis in managing pain of post-lumbar surgery syndrome and lumbar central spinal stenosis. *Pain Pract* 2014.
4. Park EJ, Park SY, Lee SJ, Kim NS, Koh DY. Clinical outcomes of epidural neuroplasty for cervical disc herniation. *J Korean Med Sci* 2013; 28: 461-465.
5. Park CH, Lee SH. Effectiveness of percutaneous transforaminal adhesiolysis in patients with lumbar neuroforaminal spinal stenosis. *Pain Physician* 2013; 16:E37-E43.
6. Park CH, Lee SH, Jung JY. Dural sac cross-sectional area does not correlate with efficacy of percutaneous adhesiolysis in single level lumbar spinal stenosis. *Pain Physician* 2011; 14:377-382.

- 7. Racz GB, Heavner JE: Complications Associated with Lysis of Epidural Adhesions and Epiduroscopy. Neal JM, Rathmell JP. Complications in regional anesthesia and pain medicine. 2007 Saunders Elsevier Philadelphia pp. 301-311.
- 8. Racz GB, Heavner JE, Smith JP, Noe CE, Al-Kaisy A, Matsumoto T, Lee SC, Nagy L. Epidural lysis of adhesions and percutaneous neuroplasty. Pain and Treatment, Chapter 10, 2014.
- 9. Racz GB, Heavner JE, Smith JP, Noe CE, Al-Kaisy A, Matsumoto T, Lee SC, Nagy L. Epidural lysis of adhesions and percutaneous neuroplasty. Pain and Treatment, Chapter 10, 2014. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/pain-and-treatment>
- 10. Racz GB, Day MR, Heavner JE, Smith JP, Scott J, Noe CE, Nagy L, Ilnner H. Epidural lysis of adhesions and percutaneous neuroplasty. Pain Management – Current Issues and Opinions, Chapter 17, 2012. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/show/title/pain-management-current-issues-and-opinions>
- 11. Teske W, Zirke S, Nottenkämper J, Lichtinger T, Theodoridis T, Krämer J, Schmidt K. Anatomical and surgical study of volume determination of the anterolateral epidural space nerve root L5/S1 under the aspect of epidural perineural injection in minimal invasive treatment of lumbar nerve root compression. European Spine Journal 2011; 4: 537-541.

## RICHARD L. RAUCK, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. Richard Rauck, a well-known and respected Pain Management Physician, began his career at Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center, where he began the Pain Management Center in 1986. He graduated from Bowman Gray School of Medicine (now called Wake Forest University School of Medicine) in 1982 and traveled to Columbus, Georgia and Cincinnati, Ohio to do his internship, residency and fellowship training. He began his research career in the 1980's and continues today. After leaving Wake Forest in 2000, he went into private practice with Piedmont Anesthesia and Pain Consultants, and started his own research center called The Center for Clinical Research. In 2004 he began his own pain management clinic and continued with The Center for Clinical Research, which is now housed together in one building. He treats a variety of pain management problems as well as speaking locally, nationally and internationally. Dr. Rauck is the current President of the World Institute of Pain.

### LECTURE: DRUGS AND PUMPS FOR INTRATHECAL DRUG DELIVERY

## LAXMAIAH MANCHIKANTI, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, ASIPP and SIPMS Clinical Professor of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky Medical Director, Pain Management Center of Paducah and Ambulatory Surgery Center, Paducah Kentucky; Pain Management Center of Marion and Pain Care Surgery, Marion, Illinois Laxmaiah Manchikanti, MD, is the Medical Director of the Pain Management Centers of Paducah, KY and Marion, IL, and the Ambulatory Surgery Center in Paducah, KY, and Pain Care Surgery in Marion, IL. He is also Clinical Professor of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine at the University of Louisville in Louisville, KY.

He has been in practice in Paducah, KY since completion of a fellowship in anesthesiology and critical care medicine in 1980. He graduated from Gandhi Medical College, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India. He completed his internship and residency in anesthesiology at Gandhi Hospital, Youngstown Hospital Association, (North Eastern Ohio School of Medicine), Allegheny General Hospital, and his fellowship in anesthesiology and critical care medicine at the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine.

Dr. Manchikanti is certified by the American Board of Anesthesiology along with subspecialty certification in Pain Medicine and the American Board of Interventional Pain Physicians (ABIPP), American Board of

Pain Medicine (ABPM), and is a Fellow in Interventional Pain Practice (FIPP). Dr. Manchikanti is a member of numerous professional societies and organizations. He is the founder, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP), the Society of Interventional Pain Management Surgery Centers (SIPMS), and many State Societies of Interventional Pain Physicians. He is also the founder of the Pain Physician Journal, ABIPP, and the ASIPP Foundation. He serves as a member on the Kentucky Carrier Advisory Committee and the Kentucky All Schedule Prescription Electronic Reporting (KASPER) Task Force. He also served as a member on the Murray State University Board of Regents, Kentucky Board of Medical Licensure (KBML), Medicare Carrier Advisory Committee (CAC) of the National Government Services. Through his work with various organizations, Manchikanti has been instrumental in the preservation of Interventional Pain Management through specialty designation, mandatory Carrier Advisory Committee (CAC) representation, reimbursement, and the passage of NASPER. Manchikanti has testified before Congress on various occasions as an expert witness on controlled substance management and interventional pain management.

Dr. Manchikanti has published over 400 publications and serves on several editorial boards. Dr. Manchikanti is also the editor of ten books designed for interventionalists.

### LECTURE: THE STATE OF INTERVENTIONAL PAIN MANAGEMENT

## AARON CALODNEY, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Aaron Kenneth Calodney, MD is Past President of the Texas Pain Society. He currently sits on the Board of Directors of the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP) and advisory Board for the World Institute of Pain (WIP). He served on the board of the International Spine Intervention Society for many years and was Director of Education. Dr. Calodney is board certified in Anesthesiology and carries subspecialty certification in Pain Management through the American Board of Anesthesiology. Dr. Calodney earned his medical degree from the University of Missouri School of Medicine and completed a family medicine internship at St Joseph's Hospital in Syracuse, New York. His residency in anesthesiology and subsequent interventional pain management fellowship was completed at the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston. He subsequently completed a fellowship in pediatric anesthesia at the Denver Children's Hospital. With particular interest in Spine and special interests including Neuromodulation and Intrathecal Drug Delivery, Biological treatment of the painful degenerative disc, Peripheral nerve injury, and Radiofrequency ablation, Dr. Calodney has presented and published many articles and textbook chapters. He is actively involved in clinical research and has delivered over 250 invited lectures in the US and abroad. Dr. Calodney is a member of the American Society of Anesthesiologists, American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and many other professional societies. He is an author of the first Evidenced Based Treatment Guidelines in Interventional Pain and Evidenced Based Guidelines for the Use of Opioids published in the Pain Physician journal and on the National Guideline Clearinghouse. Dr. Calodney previously was appointed by the governor of Texas to serve on the Advisory Committee on the Regulation of Controlled Substances Act.

### LECTURE: NEUROMODULATION FOR PAIN THERAPY

## JAN PETER WARNKE, MD

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Prof. Dr. Jan-Peter Warnke is currently Chief of Neurosurgery for The Paracelsus Clinic Group in Germany. He is appointed Professor for "Medicine-Ethics-Finances" at the University Zwickau, Germany. He held a post as Professor for Neurosurgery at the Gutenberg University in Mainz, Germany. Professor Warnke was appointed Chief of Neurosurgery for the Paracelsus Clinic Group for Germany in 1993, at age 33. He has developed the Paracelsus Clinic after the Wall fell from a community hospital to a centre of excellence, not only from a medical standpoint but also financially. Under his leadership, relations to universities throughout Europe have increased offering students an incite to practical medicine with state of the art equipment. As a result, Paracelsus has been vaulted to an internationally recognized standard for neurosurgery in Europe, and for rare diseases as Leptomeningeopathy and its variations, as Perineural Spinal Cysts (Tarlov Cysts) in the World. Prior to joining Paracelsus Private Hospital Group, Jan-P. Warnke was a practicing Neurosurgeon and Assistant Professor in Neurosurgery at RWTH Aachen Germany, Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule. His education is truly international including residencies in Germany, Hungary and Great Britain. His interest in Neurosurgery focuses on Endoscopic Methods in Neuro-Oncology and the Neuro-Endoscopy of the spinal Subarachnoidal space.

### LECTURE:

## TARLOV CYSTS AND VERTEBRAL BODY STABILIZATION

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss:

- Lumbar-sacral subarachnoidal space is approached by an endoscopic technique:

### Thecaloscopy

- Current techniques, practical use of the method for diagnostic and therapeutic reasons
- Most common pathologies of the leptomeningeals sheets (Arachnoid&Pia mater)
- Interventional options for treatment of Arachnoiditis
- Pathophysiology of Perineural Cysts, Cyst-related Pain-Syndroms and their relation to

### Arachnoiditis.

- Interventional options for Perineural Cysts.
- Basic knowledge about the technique and clinical results of the MIN treatment of osteoporotic fractures of the lumbar spine using the KIVA – System.

### REFERENCES

1. Trough the sacral hiatus sectioning of filum terminale externum using a rigide endoscope a cadavar study Mourgela S, Anagnostopoulou S, Sakellaropoulos A., Koulousakis A, Warnke J-P
2. J Neurosurgical Sciences [Epub ahead of print]
3. Therapieoptionen bei lumbaler adhäsiver Arachnoiditis
4. Warnke J-P, Mourgela S.
5. Nervenarzt. 2007 Jun 22; [Epub ahead of print] German.
6. PMID: 17581733 [PubMed - as supplied by publisher]
7. Percutaneous approach for the thecaloscopy of the lumbar subarachnoidal space
8. J.-P. Warnke, X. Di, S. Mourgela, A. Nourusi, M. Tschabitscher
9. Minim Invas Neurosurg 2007 Jun;50 (3):129 - 31 [Kategorie B]
10. Endoscopic treatment of lumbar arachnoiditis
11. J.-P. Warnke, S. Mourgela
12. Minim Invas Neurosurg 2007: 50: 1 - 6
13. [Kategorie B]
14. Thecaloscopy Part III: First Clinical Applications
15. J. P. Warnke, H. Köppert, B. Bensch-Schreiter, J. Dzelzitis, M. Tschabitscher

16. Minim Invas Neurosurg 2003 46: 94 - 99
17. Thecaloscopy Part II: Anatomical Landmarks
18. J. P. Warnke, S. Mourgela, M. Tschabitscher, J. Dzelzitis
19. Minim Invas Neurosurg 2001; 44:181 - 185
20. Thecaloscopy Part I: The Endoscopy of the Lumbar Subarachnoid Space, Part I: Historical Review and
21. Own Cadaver Studies
22. J. P. Warnke, M. Tschabitscher, A. Nobles
23. Minim Invas Neurosurg 2001; 44: 61 - 64

## RICARDO RUIZ-LÓPEZ, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Ricardo Ruiz-Lopez, MD, Neurosurg., FIPP, is Director of Barcelona Spine and Pain Institute (Institut de Columna Vertebral/Clínica del Dolor de Barcelona), Executive Member of the Board of Directors of Hospital Delfos (Barcelona) and CEO Project for Barcelona Spine & Surgery Clinic. After receiving his MD degree from the University of Madrid in 1975 and the Board of Neurosurgery in 1980, he founded in 1986 Clínica del Dolor de Barcelona. His major areas of scientific interest are the Neurosurgery of Pain, the Interventional Techniques and Surgery for Spinal Chronic Pain Conditions, and the development of new organizational models for patient care. Editor of a number of medical journals, he has published extensively on Pain Management and Interventional Pain Therapies. He is a Founding Member of various national and international societies on the pain field, and Visiting Professor and Lecturer at European and American Universities. Immediate Past President of the World Institute of Pain 2011-2013, and President of the Catalan Pain Society 2006-2010.

### LECTURE:

## RF – NEW IDEAS UPDATE

## ANDREA TRESBOT, MD, DABIPP, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Andrea Trescot, MD is on the Board of Directors of the World Institute of Pain (WIP), past president of the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP), a former professor at the University of Washington in Seattle, Washington, and previous director of the pain fellowship programs at the University of Washington and the University of Florida. She graduated from the Medical University of South Carolina, with internship and residency in anesthesia at Bethesda Naval Hospital and a fellowship in pediatric anesthesia at National Children's Hospital in Washington. She is a Diplomate of the American Board of Interventional Pain Physicians, a Diplomate of the American Board of interventional Pain Physicians, a Fellow of Interventional Pain Practice, a board member of ASIPP, and chair of the advisory board of the WIP. Dr. Trescot is board certified in anesthesia, pain management, interventional pain management and critical care. She was a pain clinic director in private practice for 15 years before she moved to academics. She has returned to private practice, where she splits her time between Alaska and Florida. Dr. Trescot has authored more than 75 peer-reviewed articles and textbook chapters, and she is co-author of PainWise – A Patient's Guide to Pain Management, and co-editor of the three-volume textbook Pain Medicine & Interventional Pain Management – A Comprehensive Review. She speaks nationally and internationally on topics of pain medicine and interventional pain management.



## LECTURE: ULTRASOUND IMAGING FOR CRYONEUROABLATION

### OBJECTIVES

Upon completion of this presentation, attendees will be able to discuss

- The role of cryoneuroablation for the treatment of peripheral nerve entrapments
- Some of the common peripheral nerve entrapments amenable to cryoneuroablation
- The use of ultrasound in the identification and injection therapy of peripheral nerve entrapments
- The incorporation of ultrasound in the use of cryoneuroablation

### Key points

- Peripheral nerve entrapments cause a wide variety of painful conditions, including headaches, abdominal and pelvic pain, pseudosciatica, phantom limb pain, and CRPS.
- Cryoneuroablation is uniquely useful for large, myelinated nerves because of its lack of neuroma formation
- Because the peripheral nerves do not often have bony landmarks, ultrasound may be helpful in localizing the nerve

### REFERENCES

1. Trescot AM. Cryoneuroablation. In: Manchikanti L, Singh V, editors. *Interventional Techniques in Chronic Non-spinal Pain*. Paducah, KY: ASIPP Publishing; 2009. p. 69-86.
2. Trescot AM. Cryoanalgesia in interventional pain management. *Pain Physician*. 2003;6(3):345-60.
3. Campos NA, Chiles JH, Plunkett AR. Ultrasound-guided cryoablation of genitofemoral nerve for chronic inguinal pain. *Pain Physician*. 2009;12(6):997-1000.
4. Fanelli RD, DiSiena MR, Lui FY, Gersin KS. Cryoanalgesic ablation for the treatment of chronic postherpetic neuropathic pain. *Surg Endosc*. 2003;17(2):196-200.
5. Wang JK. Cryoanalgesia for painful peripheral nerve lesions. *Pain*. 1985;22(2):191-4.
6. Rhame EE, Debonet AF, Simopoulos TT. Ultrasonographic guidance and characterization of cryoanalgesic lesions in treating a case of refractory sural neuroma. *Case Rep Anesthesiol*. 2011;2011:691478.
7. Moesker AA, Karl HW, Trescot AM. Treatment of phantom limb pain by cryoneurolysis of the amputated nerve. *Pain Pract*. 2014;14(1):52-6.

## MATTHEW RUPERT, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

#### Education:

Dr. Rupert has an extensive history in both engineering and medicine. He received two engineering degrees from the University of Cincinnati. He was the first student in the College of Engineering to complete a full pre-med curriculum along with his core engineering credits, paving the way for a current dual admissions program. As a NCAA D1 pole vaulter, he has always been interested in biomechanics. His engineering accomplishments revolved around medical device development at the university and with medical device manufacturers. Matt completed his Medical Doctorate at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical School in Dallas, Texas. He started a surgical residency at the Good Samaritan Hospital in Cincinnati and finished training in anesthesia at the University of Tennessee in Memphis.

#### Relevant Experience:

Dr. Rupert then completed an interventional pain management fellowship with Gabor Racz at Texas Tech University. He continued with advanced training with the WIP (FIPP #280) and ASIPP (DABIPP). He continues to lecture and teach for both. Work has been both academic and private practice. His technical interests are neuromodulation, vertebral augmentation and spinal endoscopy.

## LECTURE: VERTEBRAL AUGMENTATION 2014

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss

- Osteoporosis as a primary cause of compression fractures
- The anatomy of a vertebral compression fracture
- The indications and contraindications to vertebral augmentation
- Radiographic evaluation for diagnosis and surgical planning
- Various techniques for performance of augmentation
- Expected outcomes
- How fracture repair fits into a spectrum of care
- Clinical pearls and potential complications

### Key Points

- Osteoporosis is very common and the majority of insufficiency fractures are vertebral.
- Vertebral augmentation can be performed with a high degree of safety and efficacy in appropriately selected patients.
- There are few contraindications in those who have failed conservative treatment.
- Radiologic evaluation by the surgeon is key to appropriate treatment.
- Live and multi-view imaging is key to appropriate delivery of care.
- Vertebral augmentation is a part in the spectrum of care for this disease process.

## STANDIFORD HELM, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. Helm is the Medical Director of the Helm Center for Pain Management. He is past president of the American Society of Interventional Pain Management. He has written extensively on evidence assessment.

## LECTURE: IMPARTIAL EVIDENCE BASED GUIDELINES

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss

- Why guidelines are necessary
- The role between population health and individual health
- Sources of bias in guideline creation.
- How to assess bias in guidelines
- What steps should be taken when guidelines are being developed
- What domains need to be considered when creating guidelines
- Relationship between systematic reviews and guidelines
- How to apply guidelines in clinical practice

### REFERENCES

1. Sackett, D. L., W. M. Rosenberg, et al. (1996). "Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't." *Bmj* 312(7023): 71-72.
2. Sniderman, A. D. and C. D. Furberg (2009). "Why guideline-making requires reform." *Jama* 301(4): 429-431.
3. Source: Institute of Medicine, Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001
4. Manchikanti, L., V. Singh, et al. (2009). "An introduction to an evidence-based approach to interventional techniques in the management of chronic spinal pain." *Pain Physician* 12(4): E1-33.

- 5. Shaneyfelt, T. M., M. F. Mayo-Smith, et al. (1999). "Are guidelines following guidelines? The methodological quality of clinical practice guidelines in the peer-reviewed medical literature." *Jama* 281(20): 1900
- 6. Manchikanti, L., V. Singh, et al. (2008). "Review of Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines for Interventional Pain Management and Potential Implications." *Pain Physician* 11(3): 271-289.
- 7. Manchikanti, L., V. Singh, et al. (2009). "An introduction to an evidence-based approach to interventional techniques in the management of chronic spinal pain." *Pain Physician* 12(4): E1-33.
- 8. Furlan AD, Pennick V, Bombardier C, van Tulder M; Editorial Board, Cochrane Back Review Group. 2009 updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the Cochrane Back Review Group. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2009; 34:1929-1941 (107).
- 9. Atkins, D., D. Best, et al. (2004). "Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations." *Bmj* 328(7454): 1490-1494.
- 10. American Medical Association, Office of Quality Assurance. *Attributes to Guide the Development and Evaluation of Practice Parameters*. American Medical Association, Chicago, 1990.
- 11. AGREE Collaboration (Appraisal of Guidelines, Research, and Evaluation in Europe [AGREE] Collaborative Group). *Appraisal of Guidelines for Research & Evaluation (AGREE) Instrument Training Manual*. January 2003.
- 12. Helm S. California workers' compensation system: Are occupational medicine practice guidelines sufficient for the treatment of chronic spinal pain or do they require supplementation by guidelines for interventional techniques? *Pain Physician* 2004;7(2):229-238.
- 13. Kallewaard JW, Vanelderden P, Richardson J, Van Zundert J, Heavner J, Greon GJ. Review: Epiduroscopy for Patients with Lumbosacral Radicular Pain. *Pain Practice* 2014;14(5):365-377.

## MICHAEL GOFELD, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Current appointment:

Staff Physician, Department of Anesthesia, St Michael's and Women's College Hospitals, Toronto, Canada  
Advisor (Chronic Pain), University of Toronto

Professional Societies:

President: American Academy of Pain Medicine Ultrasonography

Past Vice-Chair of Ultrasound in Pain Medicine Special Interest Group at ASRA

World Institute of Pain Examiner, Past Chair of Canadian Chapter

Founder and Executive Member of Interventional Pain Special Interest Group: Canadian Pain Society

Academic Activities:

Section Editor: Current Headache and Pain Reports

Associate Editor: Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, *Pain Practice*

Peer-Review Publications: 36 Book Chapters: 7

Received Grants: \$753,000

Clinical Experience:

Neuromodulation (spinal cord and peripheral stimulation, intrathecal drug delivery), musculoskeletal and neurological diagnostic and procedural ultrasonography, radiofrequency, vertebral augmentation, spinal injections, managing complex cancer and non-cancer pain, advanced imaging (high-frequency ultrasound, CT, navigation)

Research Interests:

Mechanisms of neuromodulation, novel image-guided approaches, pain assessment, chronic pain outcomes.

### LECTURE:

## RADIOFREQUENCY FACET DENERVATION

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss

- Low Back Pain - Diagnostic paradigm
- The rationale for performing diagnostic blocks
- Radiofrequency denervation – evidence
- Expected outcomes
- Techniques
- Future directions

### Key Points

- Chronic low back pain is a biopsychosocial problem.
- A subset of patients may benefit from interventional pain management.
- The diagnostic blocks should be performed to establish anatomical nociceptive source and to predict prognosis.
- Radiofrequency neurotomy is a minimally invasive established treatment that offers long-term pain relief.
- The method has solid evidence of effectiveness although historically this modality attracted criticism and skepticism.
- Standard radiofrequency procedure is a straightforward method based on the placement of cannulae parallel to the target nerve.
- Emerging technologies utilize different configurations of cannulae to allow perpendicular placement; in addition ultrasound guidance has become feasible and reliable.

### REFERENCES

1. Gofeld M, Brown MN, Bollag L, Hanlon JG, Theodore BR. Magnetic positioning system and ultrasound guidance for lumbar zygapophysial radiofrequency neurotomy: a cadaver study. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2014 Jan;39(1):61-6
2. Theodore BR, Olamikan S, Keith RV, Gofeld M. Validation of self-reported pain reduction after diagnostic blockade. *Pain Med.* 2012 Sep;13(9):1131-6
3. Gofeld M, Bristow SJ, Chiu S. Ultrasound-guided injection of lumbar zygapophyseal joints: an anatomic study with fluoroscopy validation. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2012 Mar;37(2):228-31
4. Gofeld M. Ultrasound-guided zygapophysial nerve and joint injection. *Tech Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2009 Jul;13(3):150-3.
5. Gofeld M, Faclier G. Radiofrequency denervation of the lumbar zygapophysial joints--targeting the best practice. *Pain Med.* 2008 Mar;9(2):204-11.
6. Gofeld M, Jitendra J, Faclier G. Radiofrequency denervation of the lumbar zygapophysial joints: 10-year prospective clinical audit. *Pain Physician.* 2007 Mar;10(2):291-300.

## CARL NOE, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Carl Noe is professor of Anesthesiology and Pain Management and Medical Director of the Eugene McDermott Center for Pain Management at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas.

### LECTURE:

## OPIOID MANAGEMENT

The opioid management lecture will focus on the problems in the United States associated with the liberalization of opioid prescribing beginning in the 1990's. The role of opioids for acute, chronic and cancer pain will be discussed in an environment of new guidelines and regulatory action.

## LUDGER GERDESMEYER, MD, PHD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Chairman of the Orthopaedic/Trauma Department of the University of Kiel, Germany

### LECTURE: UPDATE ON EPIDURAL ADHESIOLYSIS STUDIES

#### Objective

The technique for lysis of epidural adhesions to treat lumbosacral radicular and/or low back pain was described more than 20 years ago. Today it's used worldwide in interventional pain practice, it is minimally invasive and is relatively easy to perform following specific interventional pain training courses. The fundamental premises on which the technique is based are that 1. adhesions are present in the epidural cavity of patients with low back pain and/or radicular pain, 2. the adhesions prevent epidurally injected medication from reaching intended targets, 3. the adhesions contribute to the pathogenesis of pain by eg immobilizing nerve roots, 4. pain relief can be obtained by removing barriers that prevent drugs from reaching the target site and prevent the free movement of nerve roots

The previously described technique is performing an epidurogram initially to identify filling defects indicative of epidural scarring, followed by advancing a catheter into the scar, injecting hyaluronidase to facilitate adhesiolysis and normal saline to hydrostatically separate adhesions and injecting anti-inflammatory and analgesic drugs and hypertonic saline to treat pain, inflammation and edema.

Since the technique was introduced, it has been modified in various ways, but the basic approach has remained unchanged.

Many studies have been done to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the procedure. The studies, as well as extensive clinical experience, attest to the efficacy as well as the safety of using epidural neurolysis to treat radicular and low back pain. Nevertheless, there is still demand for more evidence, especially from studies meeting high standards of evidence based medicine.

To show the efficacy of the lysis procedure a prospective randomized placebo controlled trial was performed. This talk will show the outcome of this RCT, the recent evidence and will give an overview of the available outcome studies which support the findings of the RCT.

Based on the findings of the latest RCT study as well as other studies it's believed the minimally invasive percutaneous adhesiolysis procedure should be the first choice treatment option for patients with chronic lumbosacral radicular pain.

## GABOR B. RACZ, MD, DABIPP, FIPP

### LECTURE: FAILED NECK SURGERY SYNDROME

In order to safely proceed with one of the most complex pain procedures in the cervical area especially after failed neck surgery, the physician needs to be aware of avoiding significant problems.

#### Objectives:

- Upon completion of this presentation the attendee will be able to discuss the potential consequences of venous bleeding as a complication of any needle placement in upper thoracic area leading to development of hematoma formation.
- Recognize the difference between ventral-cervical and dorsal-thoracic venous plexuses.
- Recognize the presence of large vein in the lateral epidural space and learn the technique of avoiding penetration by the catheter in the high pressure vein.
- Recognize the importance of opening up lateral runoff through the neural foramen to allow decompression of high pressure veins.

- Recognize importance of signs and symptoms including informing patient of the development of the delayed symptom as a potential consequence of thoracic midline venous bleed.
- Recognize need for early surgical intervention but preferably avoid the need by converting high pressure veins to low pressure veins.
- Recognize the technique of increasing lateral runoff by flexion rotation of head and neck where the superior pars slide over the inferior part to enlarge the neural foramen to allow lateral runoff of the injected fluids
- Recognize the need for mapping in order to find the pain generators
- Recognize danger of PVCS Perivenous Counter Spread

#### Key points:

In order to emphasize the above points, a 15 minute video presentation will show the relevance of flexion rotation and lateral runoff including venous runoff being terminated by flexion rotation and decompression and the dural mobility from three previously placed spinal cord stimulating electrodes for atypical facial pain. Interestingly, one of the most common reasons for failed neck surgery syndrome is that the surgeon operates based on diagnostic studies rather than pain generators. Many of these cases that we see have had fusion at all segments except the one that hurts, therefore, mapping for pain generators can be an important step in approaching this problem. Many of these patients also suffer from anterior scalene muscle spasm that the physicians need to be familiar with to do safely by avoiding pneumothorax secondary cord injury which can be achieved by the use of the Bella-D needle which has a sharp, but sealed end and side port for directed injection.

#### REFERENCES

1. Larkin T, Carragee E, Cohen S. A novel technique for delivery of epidural steroids and diagnosing the level of nerve root pathology. *Journal of Spinal Disorders & Techniques* 2003, 2: 186-192.
2. Racz GB, Heavner JE. Complications associated with lysis of epidural adhesions and epiduroscopy. *Complications in Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine*, edited by Neal JM, Rathmell JP. Saunders Elsevier 2007 pp 301-31.
3. Racz GB, Day MR, Heavner JE, Smith JP. The Racz Procedure: Lysis of Epidural Adhesions (Percutaneous Neuroplasty). *Comprehensive Treatment of Chronic Pain by Medical, Interventional and Integrative Approaches (AAPM Textbook of Pain Medicine)* edited by Deer et al. Springer, New York 2013 pp. 521-534.
4. Racz GB, Day MR, Heavner JE, Smith JP. The Racz Procedure: Lysis of Epidural Adhesions (Percutaneous Neuroplasty). *Comprehensive Treatment of Chronic Pain by Medical, Interventional and Integrative Approaches* edited by Deer TR et al. American Academy of Pain Medicine 2013.
5. Racz GB, Heavner JE. Cervical spinal canal loculation and secondary ischemic cord injury – PVCS – perivenous counter spread – danger sign! *Pain Practice* 2008, 5: 399-403.
6. Racz GB, Heavner JE, Smith JP, Noe CE, Al-Kaisy A, Matsumoto T, Lee SC, Nagy L. Epidural lysis of adhesions and percutaneous neuroplasty. *Pain and Treatment*, Chapter 10, 2014. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/pain-and-treatment>
7. Racz GB, Day MR, Heavner JE, Smith JP, Scott J, Noe CE, Nagy L, Ilnner H. Epidural lysis of adhesions and percutaneous neuroplasty. *Pain Management – Current Issues and Opinions*, Chapter 17, 2012. <http://www.intechopen.com/books/show/title/pain-management-current-issues-and-opinions>
8. Spektor B, Guler P, Rathmell J. Diagnostic and therapeutic blocks. *Clinical Pain Management: A Practical Guide*, edited by Lynch ME, Craig KD, Peng PWH. Wiley 2011, Chapter 19, 153-159.

## MILES DAY, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

He is the Traweek-Racz Endowed Professor in Pain Research, Medical Director of the Pain Center at Grace Clinic, and Pain Medicine Fellowship Director at Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock, Texas. Dr. Day received his medical degree from Texas A&M University College of Medicine. His post graduate



training included a surgical internship, anesthesia residency and pain management fellowship at Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock, Texas. He is board certified in Anesthesiology and in Pain Medicine by the American Board of Anesthesiology. He also holds further pain management certification from the World Institute of Pain, and the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians.

Dr. Day is actively involved in education, research and administration. He has published in several peer-reviewed journals including Pain Medicine and Pain Practice. He speaks regionally, nationally and internationally on various aspects on pain management. He specializes in the treatment of chronic pain in the head and neck region. He is an editorial reviewer for the journals Pain Medicine and Pain Practice.

## LECTURE: BOTULINUM TOXIN, ITS PROPERTIES AND USE IN PAIN MEDICINE

### Objectives

- Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss
- The history of therapeutic use of Botulinum Toxin (BT)
- The pharmacological properties of BT
- The various types of BT and their differing properties
- Possible modes of action in pain relief
- The therapeutic indications for use in pain conditions
- Expected outcomes of treatments
- Limitations, complications and types of treatment
- Future direction in use of BT

### Key Points

- C Botulinum identified in 1897, toxin purified in 1928 and first used medically in 1970's for strabismus and blepharospasm. Used cosmetically in 1980's.
- First use for pain in 1990's for torticollis and headache. Also licensed for other muscle spasms including cerebral palsy. Often used off label. Global market approaching \$15 billion.
- Various different preparations available, with different potencies and properties. Doses not synonymous across groups. eg Botox, Dysport, Xeomin, Myobloc
- Few adverse events in correct application and dosage. Local pain at injection site, flu-like symptoms, and unwanted weakness. Potential lethal dose 3000 units of Botox means dose limited to 360u max in 12 weeks.
- Widely used for therapeutic indications including cervical dystonia (spasmodic torticollis) blepharospasm (excessive blinking), severe primary axillary hyperhidrosis, strabismus, achalasia, migraine and other headache disorders. Off label use for myofascial pain, piriformis syndrome, focal neuropathies (including diabetic and phn), anal fissure, vaginismus, movement disorders, dystonias, and spinal cord injury related pain.

### REFERENCES

1. Kukreja R, Singh BR (2009). "Botulinum Neurotoxins: Structure and Mechanism of Action".
2. Microbial Toxins: Current Research and Future Trends. Caister Academic Press. ISBN 978-1-904455-44-8.
3. Frank J. Erbguth (2004). "Historical notes on botulism, Clostridium botulinum, botulinum toxin, and the idea of the therapeutic use of the toxin". Movement Disorders (John Wiley & Sons on behalf of the Movement Disorder Society) 19 (S8): S2–S6. doi:10.1002/mds.20003. PMID 15027048.
4. Dodick, DW; Turkel, CC, DeGryse, RE, Aurora, SK, Silberstein, SD, Lipton, RB, Diener, HC, Brin, MF, PREEMPT Chronic Migraine Study, Group (2010 Jun). "OnabotulinumtoxinA for treatment of chronic migraine: pooled results from the double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phases of the PREEMPT clinical program". Headache 50 (6): 921–36. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2010.01678.x. PMID 20487038.
5. Brin MF, Lew MF, Adler CH, Comella CL, Factor SA, Jankovic J, O'Brien C, Murray JJ, Wallace JD,

Willmer-Hulme A, Koller M (22 October 1999). "Safety and efficacy of NeuroBloc (botulinum toxin type B) in type A-resistant cervical dystonia". Neurology 53 (7): 1431–1438. ISSN 0028-3878. PMID 10534247.

6. Ranoux D, Attal N, Morain F, Bouhassira D (September 2008). "Botulinum toxin type A induces direct analgesic effects in chronic neuropathic pain". Annals of neurology 64 (3): 274–83. doi:10.1002/ana.21427. PMID 18546285.
7. Naumann M; So Y; Argoff CE; Childers, M. K.; Dykstra, D. D.; Gronseth, G. S.; Jabbari, B.; Kaufmann, H. C. et al. (May 2008). "Assessment: Botulinum neurotoxin in the treatment of autonomic disorders and pain (an evidence-based review): report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology". Neurology 70 (19): 1707–14. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000311390.87642.d8. PMID 18458231.
8. FDA Gives Update on Botulinum Toxin Safety Warnings; Established Names of Drugs Changed, FDA Press Announcement, August 3, 2009
9. Foran PG; Mohammed N; Lisk GO; Nagwaney, S; Lawrence, GW; Johnson, E; Smith, L; Aoki, KR et al. (2003). "Evaluation of the therapeutic usefulness of botulinum neurotoxin B, C1, E, and F compared with the long lasting type A. Basis for distinct durations of inhibition of exocytosis in central neurons". J. Biol. Chem. 278 (2): 1363–71. doi:10.1074/jbc.M209821200. PMID 12381720.

## PETER STAATS, MD, MBA, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. Peter Staats is a board-certified physician who has specialized in pain medicine for more than two decades. He has been consistently recognized as one of the country's foremost pain management doctors. Dr. Staats co-founded and practices at Premier Pain Centers, the largest pain management practice in New Jersey. In addition to his practice, he serves as an Adjunct Associate Professor at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and is the founder of the Division of Pain Medicine at JHU.

Dr. Staats is president of the NJ Society of Interventional Pain and on the executive board of ASIPP.

## LECTURE: SPINAL AND SYSTEMIC OPIOID THERAPY

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss

- What are the indications of for the use of systemic opiates
- What are the risks of systemic opiates (Morbidity and Mortality)
- Understand the side effects of systemic and intrathecal opiates
- Understand the role of intrathecal therapy including adjuvants
- Understand current role of intrathecal opiates
- Future direction of intrathecal and systemic opiates as well as intrathecal adjuvants

### Key Points

- Systemic opiates remain the mainstay for many patients with chronic pain
- Understand the risks of opiate therapy and how to minimize risks of abuse and diversion
- Intrathecal opiates remain a viable option and may be a preferable route at the high dose opiate
- One can use adjuvants in the intrathecal space and improve outcome of patients with chronic intractable pain
- While there are certainly risks of intrathecal opiates (granuloma and pocket fill) at high doses it may be preferable to use intrathecal analgesics over high dose systemic opiates

### REFERENCES

1. Staats PS Et al. Intrathecal Ziconotide in the Treatment of Refractory Pain in Patients With Cancer or AIDS: A randomized controlled clinical trial JAMA 291 vol 1 Jan 7 2004
2. Deer TR, Smith HS, Cousins M, Doleys DM, Levy RM, Rathmell JP, Staats PS, Wallace M, Webster LR. (2010), Consensus guidelines for the selection and implantation of patients with

- 3. Smith TJ, Staats PS, Pool G, Deer T, Stearns L, Rauck R, Bortz-Marx RL, Buchser E, Bryce DA, Coyne PJ, Pool GE. Randomized clinical trial of an implantable drug delivery system compared to comprehensive medical management for refractory cancer pain: Impact on pain, drug-related toxicity, and survival. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 20: 4040-4049, 2002

## ADNAN A. AL-KAISY, MB CHB, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Consultant in Pain Medicine

Dr Al-Kaisy is currently Clinical Lead and Consultant at the Pain Management and Neuromodulation Centre Guy's and St Thomas Hospital. He trained in Chronic Pain Medicine at The Walton Centre, Liverpool for Neurology and Neurosurgery. He has a fellowship in Chronic Pain Management at University of Toronto Hospital, Canada.

He has a number of publications and research in a variety of categories in pain management.

He is the chair of the London Spine Forum, vice chair of the World Institution of Pain UK and Ireland and chair of the Hands on Workshop at Guy's and St. Thomas' Hospital.

His interest is in the management of spine and neuropathic pain. He has extensive experience in Neuro-modulation: Spinal Cord Stimulation for Failed Back Surgery Syndrome, Intractable Angina, Nerve Lesion, and Sacral Nerve Stimulation for Urinary Incontinence, Interstitial Cystitis and Bowel Incontinence. He is a clinical pioneer of High Frequency Stimulation. He is the P.I of a number of researches looking into efficacy of High Frequency Stimulation in the management of various pain conditions including headache. Most recently he pioneered a new technique to stimulate the Dorsal Root Ganglion in the management of neuropathic pain using a transgrade approach.

Dr Al-Kaisy was voted the Hospital Doctor of the Year for the category of pain management in 2001.

### LECTURE:

## PROGRESS REPORT – HIGH FREQUENCY SPINAL CORD STIMULATION IN THE MANAGEMENT OF AXIAL BACK PAIN

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss

- The role of conventional Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) in management of Failed Back Surgery Syndrome (FBSS).
- Limitations of conventional SCS in the management of Axial Back Pain (ABP)
- Strategies used to improve the efficacy of conventional SCS
- What is High Frequency Stimulation?
- How does High Frequency Stimulation work? How safe is it?
- What are the advantages of high frequency stimulation for patients, operators and the providers?
- The future direction of high frequency SCS
- Key Points
- Spinal Cord Stimulation is evidence based treatment used in the management of chronic pain conditions.
- While SCS is very effective for radicular pain, one notable area that SCS has had less success in is ABP, which is a mix of nociceptive and neuropathic pain.
- In conventional SCS, paraesthesia coverage has been essential for pain relief. However, coverage of low back pain without dorsal root stimulation and without undesirable stimulation is difficult to accomplish.
- One promising approach for this unmet need is High frequency SCS using up to 10 KHZ.
- In a multi-centre prospective European open label study with 84 implanted patients, High

Frequency SCS technology showed significant relief for chronic back pain in difficult-to-treat patients, such as predominant back pain patients.

- Leads can be placed in anatomic midline rather than physiologic midline, making the procedure simpler. Paraesthesia mapping step is not required, making the time for High Frequency SCS surgery more predictable and potentially shorter.
- Future direction of HR SCS includes use different algorithm in programming, different application and advances in equipment technology.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Hill R, Garrett Z, Saile E. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain of neuropathic or ischaemic origin. NICE technology appraisal guidance 159. October 2008. <http://www.nice.org.uk/TA159>. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence.
- 2. Kuechmann, et al. Could automatic position adaptive stimulation be useful in spinal cord stimulation. 6th Congress of the European Federation of IASP Chapters 2009 and [www.restoresensor.eu](http://www.restoresensor.eu).
- 3. Al-kaisy, et al. Sustained effectiveness of 10 kHz high-frequency spinal cord stimulation for patients with chronic, low back pain: 24-month results of a prospective multicenter study. *Pain Med.* 2014 Mar;15(3):347-54. doi: 10.1111/pme.12294. Epub 2013 Dec 5.

## CHARLES DE OLIVEIRA, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. Charles Oliveira is an Interventional Pain Physician at Singular Pain Center, Campinas-SP, Brazil.

### LECTURE:

## GUIDELINES FOR RADIATION SAFETY

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss

- How patients and physicians are exposed to radiation
- The effects of long term radiation exposure
- Basic science of medical radiation
- Factors that directly affect exposure
- Factors that indirectly affect exposure
- Strategies to reduce exposure – the rationale using of fluoro machine
- Strategies to reduce exposure – the rationale using of protective devices
- How to follow up radiation exposure – regular use of dosimeter
- Radiation safety and pregnancy

### Key Points

- Fluoro is defined as a rad exam utilizing fluorescence for the observation of the transient image
- Approximately 5% of the US population has a fluoro procedure each year
- The average number of fluoro exams per person is 1.3
- Medical exposure accounts for about 20% of the total radiation people receive
- The best way to reduce radiation safety is to reduce fluoro time, use of collimation and filtration, keep distance from the fluoro machine, keep hands out of the beam and using pulsed fluoroscopy
- Some devices are required for radiation safety, such as lead aprons, gloves and eyeglasses
- The follow up with appropriate use of personal radiation detection devices is the cornerstone for physician safety

## REFERENCES

- 1. Stojanovic MP. Basic pain management interventions using fluoroscopy: targets and optimal imaging of lumbar spine. *Tech Reg Anesth Pain Manag* 2007;11:55–62.
- 2. Davros WJ. Fluoroscopy: basic science, optimal use, and patient/operator protection. *Tech Reg Anesth Pain Manag* 2007;11(2):44–54.
- 3. Valentin J. Avoidance of radiation injuries from medical interventional procedures. *Ann ICRP* 2000;30(2):7–67.
- 4. Torres-Torres M, Mingo-Robinet J, Moreno Barrero M, Rivas Laso JÁ, Burón Álvarez I, González Salvador M. Radiation safety in orthopaedic operating theatres. what is the current situation? *Rev Esp Cir Ortop Traumatol* 2014;
- 5. Cole P, Hallard R, Broughton J, et al. Developing the radiation protection safety culture in the UK. *J Radiol Prot* 2014;34(2):469–84.
- 6. Shim JH. Radiation safety for pain physicians: technique or equipment. *Korean J Pain* 2014;27(2):101–2.
- 7. Shaw PM, Vouyouka A, Reed A. Time for radiation safety program guidelines for pregnant trainees and vascular surgeons. *J Vasc Surg* 2012;55(3):862–868.e2.

## KRIS VISSERS, MD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

K. Vissers is anesthesiologist, professor in Pain and Palliative Medicine and chairman of the Radboud Expertise Center for Pain and Palliative Medicine of the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre in the Netherlands. He is chairman of the national society for palliative care, board member of the Dutch Pain Society and president-elect of the World Institute of Pain.

### LECTURE:

## RECENT ADVANCES AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF CANCER PAIN

### Objectives

At the end of this presentation you will be able to discuss:

- The different types of pain in patients with cancer and the underlying mechanisms
- The different factors interfering with pain in patients with cancer
- The need for a multi-dimensional approach of cancer pain
- The mechanism based treatment selection
- The algorithm for the management of pain in patients with cancer

### Key points

- The chronic care model has merits but does not fulfill all the needs of a patient with cancer who suffers pain.
- Three main areas are involved in pain: cognitive, sensorial and emotional and each area needs to be considered when selecting the patient's treatment 1
- The first step in adequate pain management is a complete assessment, including pain characteristics, pain intensity and impact on the quality of life and daily activities. 2
- The somatic diagnostics are used to determine the cause of pain (nociception). The somatic treatment is targeting the underlying mechanism. 3, 4
- Somatic treatment should be part of the multidimensional management
- Treatment should be guided by the outcome measurement
- Treatment plan and outcome documentation is required

## REFERENCES

- 1. Melzack R. From the gate to the neuromatrix. *Pain*. 1999;Suppl 6:S121-126.
- 2. Landelijke richtlijnwerkgroep Pijn bij kanker. Pijn bij kanker. Landelijke richtlijn. In: Landelijke richtlijn-

werkgroep Pijn bij kanker, ed.2008. 1-168.

- 3. Woolf CJ, Bennett GJ, Doherty M, Dubner R, Kidd B, Koltzenburg M, et al. Towards a mechanism-based classification of pain? *Pain*. 1998;77:227-229.
- 4. von Hehn CA, Baron R, Woolf CJ. Deconstructing the neuropathic pain phenotype to reveal neural mechanisms. *Neuron*. 2012;73:638-652.

## JAMES E. HEAVNER, DVM, PHD, FIPP(HON)

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. James E. Heavner is a Professor Emeritus of Anesthesiology, Cell Physiology and Molecular Biophysics and Clinical Professor of Anesthesiology at Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center. He is an honorary Fellow of Interventional Pain Practice. He is on the Editorial Advisory Board of Pain Practice and performs peer reviews for various scientific journals. His scientific career spans more than 40 years. His areas of research include pain mechanism and treatment and the pharmacology and toxicology of local anesthetics. He pioneered the development of epiduroscopy. He is active in numerous national and international professional organizations and is the Registrar for the Fellow of Interventional Pain Practice examination.

### LECTURE:

## LUMBOSACRAL SPINAL CANAL ENDOSCOPY

### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss:

- The status of epiduroscopy as a tool to aid in the diagnosis and treatment of common low back pain (LBPc) and expected response to therapy
- Contributions of epiduroscopy to the advancement of interventional pain medicine
- How epiduroscopy has refined our understanding of common low back pain and how to treat it
- Important considerations regarding clinical use of epiduroscopy

### Key Points

- Epiduroscopy has been performed routinely for well over a decade at some centers worldwide
- Use of the procedure has persisted without substantial promotion by any major medical device manufacturer.
- Evidence continues to accumulate that when performed by adequately trained physicians, patients benefit from epiduroscopy and the procedure has a favorable cost/benefit ratio
- Epiduroscopy has expanded understanding of the anatomy of the spinal epidural cavity, changes that occur in the cavity in patients with low back pain and boundaries for safely performing interventional procedures to treat common low back pain.
- Important considerations regarding the clinical use of epiduroscopy include:
  - a. Adequate training
  - b. Familiarity with equipment
  - c. Patient selection
  - d. Expected outcomes – diagnosis, treatment, prognosis

## REFERENCES

- 1. Bosscher HA, Heavner JE. Incidence and severity of epidural fibrosis after back surgery: An endoscopic study. *Pain Practice*. 2010;10:18-24
- 2. Heavner JE, Wyatt DE, Bosscher HA. Lumbosacral epiduroscopy complicated by intravascular injection: a report of two cases. *Anesthesiology*. 207;107:347-350.
- 3. Racz GB, Heavner JE, Bosscher HA, Helms. The MILD Procedure. Consultant's Corner. *Primum non nocere. Pain Practice*. 2013;13:594-596.
- 4. Bosscher HA, Heavner JE. Lumbosacral epiduroscopy finding predict treatment outcomes. *Pain Practice*. 2014;14:506-514



- 5. Bosscher HA, Heavner JE. Diagnosis of the vertebral level from which low back or leg pain originates. A comparison of clinical evaluation, MRI and epiduroscopy. *Pain Practice*. 2012;12: 506-512.
- 6. Geurts JW, Kallewaard JW, Richardson J, Groen GJ: Targeted methylprednisolone acetate/ hyaluronidase/clonidine injection after diagnostic epiduroscopy for chronic sciatica: A prospective, 1-year follow-up study. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2002; 27:343-352.
- 7. Igarashi T, Hirabayashi Y, Seo N, Saito K, Fukuda H, Suzuki H. Lysis of adhesions and epidural injection of steroid/local anaesthetic during epiduroscopy potentially alleviate low back and leg pain in elderly patients with lumbar spinal stenosis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2004; 93:181-187.
- 8. Richardson J, McGurgan P, Cheema S, Gupta S. Spinal endoscopy in chronic low back pain with radiculopathy: A prospective case series. *Anaesth*. 2001; 56:454-460.
- 9. Manchikanti L, Boswell MV, Rivera JJ, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of spinal endoscopic adhesiolysis in chronic refractory low back and lower extremity pain. *BMC Anesthesiology*. 2005; 5:10.

## LORAND EROSS, MD, PHD, FIPP MILES DAY, MD, FIPP

### LECTURE: CURRENT STATUS OF MANAGING PAIN ASSOCIATED WITH MY TECHNIQUE: EPIDURAL ADHESIOLYSIS

Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is defined as the reduction of the surface area of the lumbar spinal canal. The etiology is mostly spinal degenerative conditions and most individuals are asymptomatic, but the incidence and prevalence of symptomatic LSS are unknown (1,2). Symptomatic LSS may be the result of neurovascular mechanisms, nerve excitation by local inflammation, or direct compression in the central canal or lateral recess (1).

Treatment ranges from conservative measures, including medication and physical therapy, to minimally invasive pain management procedures to surgery. Epidural steroid injections via the different approaches can be beneficial, but typically result in short term relief. For patients who want to avoid surgery or who have had surgery without significant relief, epidural adhesiolysis can be of benefit. The evidence is fair that adhesiolysis is effective in the treatment of chronic low back pain and leg pain due to spinal stenosis and post lumbar surgery syndrome (3).

#### REFERENCES:

- 1. Kovacs F, Urrutia G, Alacon J. Surgery versus conservative treatment for symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis. *Spine* 2011;36:E1335-E1351.
- 2. Katz J, Harris M. Lumbar spinal stenosis. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2008;358:818-825.
- 3. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: Guidance and recommendations. *Pain Physician* 2013;16:S49-S283.

## RICHARD WEINER, MD

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr Richard L. Weiner, MD FACS FANN is a board certified neurosurgeon with Dallas Neurosurgical and Spine Associates. He is also a Clinical Associate Professor of Neurosurgery at the University of Texas, Southwestern Medical School in Dallas, Texas, USA. He has been involved in developing and implementing surgical and neuromodulation treatments for a variety of chronic pain indications over the past 35 years and developed subcutaneous neurostimulation for headaches and other peripheral nerve conditions.

## LECTURE: EXPERIENCE WITH A NEW NEUROMODULATION SYSTEM LORAND EROSS, MD, PHD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. Lorand Eross is the director of Functional Neurosurgical Program and head of the Functional Neurosurgery Department at the National Institute of Neuroscience in Budapest. He is a board-certified neurologist and neurosurgeon. He got his PhD degree at Semmelweis University in 2010. His main interests are epilepsy surgery, movement disorder surgery, pain treatment, spasticity, and intraoperative neuromonitoring and neuromodulation. He teaches at Semmelweis University School of Medicine and at Pazmany Peter University Faculty of Information Technology. His research activity is in-vitro and in-vivo electrophysiological investigational methods in epilepsy.

## LECTURE: NEUROSURGICAL APPROACHES TO CHRONIC PAIN MANAGEMENT

## MILES DAY, MD, FIPP

### LECTURE: FACIAL PAIN AND CERVICOGENIC HEADACHE

Facial pain and cervicogenic headache can be devastating to those who experience them. In light of this, it is important for today's pain practitioner to be familiar with up-to-date diagnostic criteria for facial pain and cervicogenic headache. The pain practitioner should also be knowledgeable regarding diagnostic tools and available treatments. The International Headache Society (IHS) recently updated their diagnostic criteria for the various etiologies of facial pain as well as the diagnostic criteria for cervicogenic headache (CEH) (1). While the IHS criteria do not provide defining criteria for the features of CEH pain or its associated symptoms, the criteria established for CEH by the Cervicogenic Headache International Study Group does (2).

Part 3 of the IHS's International Classification of Headache Disorders focuses on cranial neuralgias, and central and primary causes of facial pain. Pain in the head and neck is mediated by afferent fibres in the trigeminal nerve, nervus intermedius, glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves and the upper cervical roots via the occipital nerves. Stimulation of these nerves by compression, distortion, exposure to cold or other forms of irritation or by a lesion in central pathways may give rise to stabbing or constant pain felt in the area innervated (1). A detailed history and physical exam is a must. Common diagnostic tools include MRI's and MRA's of the brain and cervical spine. Common diagnosis's include trigeminal, glossopharyngeal, and occipital neuralgia. Pharmacological treatment is usually effective and commonly includes tricyclic antidepressants (TCA's) and antiepileptic drugs (AED's). If the pain becomes refractory to these medications, interventional therapy can be implemented with percutaneous procedures or in some cases surgery.

Cervicogenic headaches are classified as secondary headaches by the IHS. The prevalence of CEH in the general population is estimated to be 0.4% to 2.5% and it is 4 times more prevalent in women than men (3). CEH is characterized by unilateral head pain of fluctuating intensity that is increased by movement of the head and radiates from frontal to occipital (3). Occasional attack-related phenomena include nausea, phono- and photophobia, dizziness, ipsilateral "blurred vision", difficulties in swallowing, and ipsilateral edema (mostly in the periocular area)(2). The etiology is a disorder or lesion of the cervical spine or soft tissues of the neck. As with facial pain, a thorough history and physical exam is important. Diagnostic tools such as radiography, CT and MRI can assist in making the diagnosis. Treatments range from pharmacologic (NSAID's, TCA's, AED's, muscle relaxants) to nonpharmacologic (physical therapy), and at some point may also include minimally invasive injections or surgery targeting the likely source of the pain.

## REFERENCES:

- 1. The International Classification of Headache Disorders 2nd Edition, May 2005. Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society (IHS).
- 2. Sjaastad O, Fredriksen T, Pfaffenrath V. Cervicogenic headache: diagnostic criteria. The Cervicogenic Headache International Study Group. *Headache* 1998;38:442-445.
- 3. Biondi D. Headache Disorders. In: Raj's Practical Management of Pain 4th Edition. Benzon, Rathmell, Wu, Turk, Argoff (Eds.). Mosby, Philadelphia, 2008.

## SANG CHUL LEE, MD, PHD, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Prof. Sang Chul Lee is Professor of the Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine. And he is currently serving as the President of Korean Spinal Pain Society and Korean Society of Complementary and Alternative Medicine. He is also the President of Asian Australasian Federation of Pain Societies. He is serving or served as the Editorial Board of *Acta Anaesthesiologica Taiwanica* (Ma Tsui Hsueh Tsa Chi), the official journal of Taiwan Society of Anesthesiologists, Editorial Board of *Journal of Clinical Rehabilitative Tissue Engineering Research* (China), and Editorial Board of *Chinese Journal of Anesthesiology*, the official journal of Chinese Society of Anesthesiologists. He is Honorary Member of Taiwan Pain Society and Member of National Academy of Medicine of Korea. He was the President of Korean Spinal Pain Society, the President of Korean Society of Anesthesiologists, the President of Korean IASP Chapter and the President of World Society of Pain Clinicians. He published approximately 250 articles.

## LECTURE:

### USE OF ULTRASOUND IN INTERVENTIONAL PAIN THERAPY

#### Objectives

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss

- Why we should use ultrasound as a guidance method in pain treatment
- What the basic principle of ultrasound imaging is
- For what ultrasound guided is used in the field of pain treatment
- Relationships between the inserted needle and inner structures
- Proper postures during ultrasound guided intervention
- How Sonoanatomy compare with real anatomy
- Examples of ultrasound application for pain treatment

#### Key Points

- Ultrasonography has potential usefulness in pain management including diagnosis and interventional treatment.
- The rationale for performing ultrasound guided treatment is that it provides information that aids in establishing a diagnosis and prognosis, locating areas of pathology, and providing therapy via a real-time visualization.
- Ultrasonography is the only modality that allows direct visualization of relationships between the inserted needle and inner structures such as vessels or nerves in the way of target areas to avoid an iatrogenic injury of them.
- Barriers to the use of ultrasound in clinical practice include necessity of training for operation.
- Expected outcomes include ruling in or out area or areas of pathology, facilitating treatment, better forecasting of prognosis and future treatment options.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Andres JD, Sala-Blanch X. Ultrasound imaging techniques for regional nerve blocks. In: *Interventional Pain Management: Image Guided Procedures*, 2nd ed. P Raj et al, eds. Saunders Elsevier, Philadelphia, pp 584-596, 2008.
- 2. Bianchi S, Martinoli C. *Ultrasound of the musculoskeletal system*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 2007.
- 3. Lee SH et al. *Ultrasound guided regional anesthesia & pain intervention*. Hansol, Seoul, 2010.
- 4. Hadzic A. *Textbook of regional anesthesia and acute pain management*. McGraw-Hill, New York, pp 657-694, 2007.

## CRAIG HARTRICK, MD, DABPM, FIPP

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Craig Hartrick, MD, FIPP, is Professor of Biomedical Sciences and Anesthesiology at Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine in Rochester, Michigan, USA. He was the founding Discipline Director of Pharmacology at the School of Medicine, founding Director of institution-wide Pain Services, as well as founding director of Anesthesiology Research at the Research Institute of Beaumont Health System. After completing undergraduate studies in Chemical Engineering at Michigan State University, he received his medical degree from Wayne State University. Following anesthesiology residency at Providence Hospital, Dr. Hartrick completed a Fellowship in Pain and Regional Anesthesia at the University of Cincinnati. Dr. Hartrick is currently completing his second 5-year term as Editor-in-Chief of *Pain Practice*. He has authored over 200 publications including peer-reviewed articles, published abstracts of original research, book chapters and monographs on anesthesiology and pain management, and was the 2006 recipient of the President's Medal from the World Institute of Pain.

## ROBERT LEVY, MD

### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Dr. Robert Levy is the Institute Director of the Marcus Neuroscience Institute and Chairman of the Department of Neurosurgery, Boca Raton Regional Hospital, Boca Raton, Florida, U.S.A.

## LECTURE:

### EDITORS ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION – WHY ARTICLES DO NOT GET ACCEPTED

#### Objectives:

Upon completion of this presentation attendees will be able to discuss:

- Quality assessment and its impact on pain literature
- Ethical considerations for successful publication

#### Synopsis:

Assessment of manuscript quality and the potential impact as a clinical publication requires an evaluation of the quality of the investigation, quality of the investigator, and the validity of the trial. These determinations require peer review and editorial judgment. Transparency is essential in making these assessments. Ethical concerns regarding authorship, fabrication of data, redundant publication and plagiarism remain significant problems and have been at the heart of recent controversies in the pain literature. Although plagiarism checking software has been available for some time, widespread use has not been uniformly applied to submitted manuscripts until recently. The anesthesiology and pain literature has seen several dramatic ethical breaches resulting in the retraction of hundreds of papers. Heightened surveillance and tougher editorial policies are currently being enforced at most journals.

# AUTHORS INDEX

Mert Akbas .....	11
Adnan A. Al-Kaisy .....	11, 30
Javier de Andres .....	11
Aaron Calodney .....	10, 19
Kenneth B. Chapman .....	12
Miles Day .....	11, 12, 27
Sudhir Diwan .....	12
Serdar Erdine .....	10
Lorand Eross .....	12, 34, 35
Ludger Gerdsmeyer .....	11, 26
Michael Gofeld .....	11, 24
Craig Hartrick .....	12, 37
James E. Heavner .....	12, 33
Standiford Helm .....	10, 23
Maarten van Kleef .....	12
Sang Chul Lee .....	12, 36
Robert Levy .....	12, 37
Ricardo Luiz-López .....	10, 21
Laxmaiah Manchikanti .....	10, 18
John Nelson .....	10
Carl Noe .....	11, 25
Charles de Oliveira .....	12, 31
Gabor B. Racz .....	10, 11, 16
Edit Racz .....	10
P. Prithvi Raj .....	12
Richard L. Rauck .....	10, 18
Matthew Rupert .....	10, 22
Peter Staats .....	11, 29
Andrea Trescot .....	10, 21
Kris Vissers .....	12, 32
Jan Peter Warnke .....	10, 20
Richard Weiner .....	12, 34

# Industry Technical Presentations

TUESDAY, 26 August, 2014

Regina Ballroom

**Moderators:** **Gabor B. Racz**, MD, DABIPP, FIPP  
**Ira B. Fox**, MD, DABPM, FIPP

**07:30 – 09:00** Industry Technical Presentations

**07:30** **Boston Scientific**  
**Richard L. Rauck**, MD, FIPP

**07:45** **Epimed International**  
**Chad Diebold**, Sales & Marketing

**08:00** **Medtronic**  
**Zoltan Chadaide**, MD,  
Neuromodulation Market Development Manager

**08:15** **Stimwave Technologies, Inc.**  
**Richard Weiner**, MD

**08:30** **St Jude Medical**  
**Peter Staats**, MD, MBA, FIPP

**08:45** **Ziehm Imaging GmbH**  
**Axel Kouril**, Marketing



## Acknowledgements

The 19th Annual Advanced Interventional Pain Conference and Practical Workshop gratefully acknowledge the following companies for their support of this event:



**Boston  
Scientific**



**Epimed**  
*Designed by Experts for Experts*



 **Medtronic**



**stim  
wave**  
TECHNOLOGIES



 **ziehm imaging**



 **ST. JUDE MEDICAL™**



**CoMEDICAL**  
Radiofrequency Pain Management

## Exhibitors and Sponsors Profiles

### **Boston Scientific**

25155 Rye Canyon Loop Valencia, CA 91355 661.949.4000

[www.controlyourpain.com](http://www.controlyourpain.com)

Investing in innovative products, clinical initiatives, and world-class service, Boston Scientific is committed to leading the way in spinal cord stimulation by providing better pain relief to a broad range of patients.

### **Epimed International Inc.**

Crossroads Business Park 141 Sal Landrio Drive Johnstown, NY 12095 USA

[www.epimedpain.com](http://www.epimedpain.com)

Epimed will be featuring products designed for chronic and acute pain management techniques. We will display the Expanded Line of Racz® Spring Guide Epidural Catheters; RX™, R.K.™, and FIC Epidural Introducer Needles; R-F™ Line of Radiofrequency Products; Coudé™ & Straight Blunt Nerve Block Needles and Mini Trays. Also being shown are Radiation Safety Products and Anatomical Models.

### **Medtronic International Trading Sàrl**

Route du Molliau 31, Case Postale 84, CH-1131 Tolochenaz, Switzerland

[www.medtronic.com](http://www.medtronic.com)

At Medtronic, we're committed to Innovating for life by pushing the boundaries of medical technology and changing the way the world treats chronic disease. To do that, we're thinking beyond products and beyond the status quo - to continually find more ways to help people live better, longer.

### **Stimwave**

420 Lincoln Road Suite 365 Miami Beach, Florida 33139 USA

[www.stimwave.com](http://www.stimwave.com)

Stimwave Technologies is introducing the world's first passive wireless microsize stimulator platform for neurophysiology treatment therapies small enough to be injected through an 18 gauge needle leveraging nanotechnology and advancements in high frequency energy transmission. This approach to neural stimulation brings the complexity outside the body, providing an affordable non-surgical trial and permanent complete SCS solution. The Freedom SCS System features leads are self-contained neurostimulators, eliminating the need for a percutaneous port, are MRI conditional for full body 3T and 1.5T scans, and injectable for quick, efficient trials and long term implants. Advantages include lower risk of infections, elimination of the IPG pocket and tunneling, and patient convenience during trials to be able to bath and resume their normal lives.

### **Ziehm Imaging GmbH**

Donaustrasse 31, 90451 Nuernberg, Germany

[www.ziehm.com](http://www.ziehm.com)

Founded in 1972, Ziehm Imaging has stood for the development, manufacturing and worldwide marketing of mobile X-ray-based imaging solutions for 40 years. Employing more than 350 people worldwide, the company is the recognized innovation leader in the mobile C-arm industry and a market leader in Germany and other European countries. The Nuremberg-based manufacturer has received several awards for its groundbreaking technologies and achievements, including the Frost & Sullivan award (various years), the iF design award 2011, the Top100 award for innovative mid-size companies 2012 and the Stevie Award 2013 for Sales & Customer Service in the US. For more information, please visit: [www.ziehm.com](http://www.ziehm.com).

## St. Jude Medical

One Lillehei Plaza, St. Paul, MN 55117, USA

[www.sjm.com](http://www.sjm.com)

St. Jude Medical is dedicated to transforming the treatment of some of the world's most expensive epidemic diseases. The company does this by developing cost-effective medical technologies and services that save and improve lives. St. Jude Medical provides leading neurostimulation therapy innovations for neurological and chronic pain patients worldwide.

## CoMedical – Cosman Medical

Gieterijstraat 46, Ridderkerk 2984 AB, The Netherlands

[www.comedical.eu](http://www.comedical.eu), [www.cosmanmedical.com](http://www.cosmanmedical.com)

CoMedical and Cosman Service Centre is based in Ridderkerk nearby Rotterdam and is a specialized medical company that focuses on development and distribution of Radiofrequency equipment as well specific catheters and needles for the minimal invasive treatment of Chronic Pain and publishing books for pain management. We have an interactive relationship with anesthesiologists, neurosurgeons, pain managers, research and industry. We are exclusive distributor for Cosman Medical, Oakworks and Epimed in the Benelux. We are the publisher of the 3rd Edition of Manual of RF Techniques of Dr. Charels A. Gauci MD. FRCA. FIPP. FF-PMRCA. Since the eighties Enrico Cohen founder and CEO of CoMedical is involved in minimal invasive surgery and specialized on pain management. Since many years he cooperate with Cosman medical (Radionics) now he is also Vice President Sales for Cosman Medical in EAME. CoMedical Team can provide products, training and service for you, your staff and equipment.



# PRECISION SPECTRA™

Spinal Cord Stimulator System

## INNOVATION FOCUSED ON PAIN RELIEF™

### COVERAGE. FLEXIBILITY. ADVANCED CONTROL.

DESIGNED TO PROVIDE MORE PAIN RELIEF TO A BROADER SPECTRUM OF PATIENTS.

The Precision Spectra™ Spinal Cord Stimulator System (Precision Spectra System) is indicated as an aid in the management of chronic intractable pain. **CAUTION:** The law restricts these devices to sale by or on the order of a physician. Indications, contraindications, warnings and instructions for use can be found in the product labeling supplied with each device. Information for the use only in countries with applicable health authority product registrations.

All cited trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

NM-143216-AB\_MAR2014 ©2014 Boston Scientific Corporation or its affiliates. All rights reserved.





- > Racz® Catheter Products
- > Epidural Introducer Needles
- > Coudé® Blunt & Specialty Needles
- > R-F™ Products
- > Regional Anesthesia Devices
- > Interactive Physician Education
- > Custom Products & Development



*Designed by Experts for Experts*

[www.epimed.com](http://www.epimed.com)

*fluid design*



## Protected with SureScan® MRI Technology

Safe access to MRI scans on any part of the body\*

Now your patients can have lasting pain relief and advanced diagnostic care that does not compromise their safety.\*

[medtronic.eu](http://medtronic.eu)  
[mrisurescan.com](http://mrisurescan.com)



\*Under specific conditions. Neurostimulation for pain requires SureScan® implantable neurostimulator and Vectris® leads. Refer to approved labeling for full list of conditions.

### NEUROSTIMULATION SYSTEMS FOR PAIN THERAPY

**Brief Summary: Product manuals must be reviewed prior to use for detailed disclosure.**

**Indication:** Neurostimulation for Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) - Medtronic SCS neurostimulation system is indicated for SCS as an aid in the management of chronic, intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs, peripheral vascular disease, or intractable angina pectoris. Neurostimulation for Peripheral Nerve Stimulation (PNS) using percutaneous leads - A Medtronic PNS neurostimulation system is indicated for PNS as an aid in the management of chronic, intractable pain of the posterior trunk. Neurostimulation for Peripheral Nerve Stimulation (PNS) using surgical leads - A Medtronic PNS neurostimulation system is indicated for PNS as an aid in the management of chronic, intractable pain of the trunk and/or limbs.


**Contraindications:** Diathermy - Do not use shortwave diathermy, microwave or therapeutic ultrasound diathermy (all now referred to as diathermy) on patients implanted with a neurostimulation system. Energy from diathermy can be transferred through the implanted system and cause tissue damage at the locations of the implanted electrodes, resulting in severe injury or death. **Warnings:** Sources of strong electromagnetic interference (eg, defibrillation, diathermy, electrocautery, MRI, RF ablation, and therapeutic ultrasound) can interact with the neurostimulation system, resulting in serious patient injury or death. These and other sources of EMI can also result in system damage, operational changes to the neurostimulator or unexpected changes in stimulation. Rupture or piercing of the neurostimulator can result in severe burns. An implanted cardiac device (eg, pacemaker, defibrillator) may damage a neurostimulator, and the electrical pulses from the neurostimulator may result in an inappropriate response of the cardiac device. Patients treated for intractable angina pectoris should be educated on the signs and symptoms of myocardial infarction and should seek medical attention immediately if signs and symptoms develop. **Precautions:** The safety and effectiveness of this therapy has not been established for pediatric use (patients under the age of 18), pregnancy, unborn fetus, or delivery. Patients should be detoxified from narcotics prior to lead placement. Clinicians and patients should follow programming guidelines and precautions provided in product manuals. Patients should avoid activities that may put undue stress on the implanted neurostimulation system components and should avoid manipulating or rubbing the neurostimulation system through the skin. Patients should not scuba dive below 10 meters of water or enter hyperbaric chambers above 2.0 atmosphere absolute (ATA). Electromagnetic interference, postural changes, and other activities may cause shocking or jolting. **Adverse Events:** Adverse events may include: undesirable change in stimulation described by some patients as uncomfortable, jolting or shocking; hematoma, infection, erosion, allergic response, hardware malfunction or migration, pain at implant site, loss of pain relief, surgical risks, seroma. SCS specific: epidural hemorrhage, paralysis, CSF leakage, and chest wall stimulation. PNS specific: Nerve damage or degeneration.



# freedom

Wireless  
Spinal Cord  
Stimulators™

the elegant  
SCS solution™



Introducing the World's  
Smallest Permanent Stimulator  
• Ten Minute Procedure

freedom  
neuro  
STIMULATORS

a distributor of  
Stimwave Technologies, Inc.

Freedom Neuro BV P: +31 (20) 760 1600 F: +31 (20) 760 1600  
E: info@stimwave.co.uk www.stimwave.co.uk

CE  
0344

The Freedom Spinal Cord Stimulator System is indicated as an aid in the management of chronic intractable pain. CAUTION: The law restricts these devices to sale by or on the order of a physician. Indications, contraindications, warnings and instructions for use can be found in the product labeling supplied with each device. Information for the use only in countries with applicable health authority product regulations. \*denotes product is in process of CE Mark Clearance ©2014 Stimwave Technologies or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Big things  
come in small  
packages.

With its all-in-one design, Ziehm Solo is one of the most compact and versatile C-arms for crowded treatment scenarios. Equipped with state-of-the-art imaging technology, this C-arm delivers great performance for orthopedics, traumatology, pain management and other applications.



Made in Germany.  
www.ziehm.com

 **ziehm imaging**  
dedicated to clinical innovation

# TECHNOLOGY SO ADVANCED IT REINVENTS ITSELF.



What if a device allowed you to introduce newly approved therapy updates to patients without surgery—or hesitation? Meet Protégé™ IPG, the first wirelessly upgradeable spinal cord stimulation solution. Designed to be ready for the next thing. Destined to change everything.

TURNING WHAT IF INTO WHY NOT.™

Learn more at [sjm.com/protégé](http://sjm.com/protégé)



**Indications for Use:** Spinal cord stimulation as an aid in the management of chronic, intractable pain of the trunk and limbs. **Contraindications:** Patients who are unable to operate the system or who have failed to receive effective pain relief during trial stimulation. **Warnings/Precautions:** Diathermy therapy, implanted cardiac systems, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), explosive or flammable gases, theft detectors and metal screening devices, lead movement, operation of machinery and equipment, postural changes, pediatric use, pregnancy, and case damage. Patients who are poor surgical risks, with multiple illnesses, or with active general infections should not be implanted. **Adverse Effects:** Painful stimulation, loss of pain relief, surgical risks (e.g., paralysis). Clinicians manual must be reviewed for detailed disclosure.

**Rx Only**

Unless otherwise noted, ™ indicates that the name is a trademark of, or licensed to, St. Jude Medical or one of its subsidiaries. ST. JUDE MEDICAL and the nine-squares symbol are trademarks and service marks of St. Jude Medical, Inc. and its related companies. © 2014 St. Jude Medical, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

**Notes**

**Notes**





congressLine

**CongressLine Ltd.**

H-1065 Budapest, Révay köz 2.

**Contact person:** Sandra Vamos

**Phone:** +361 429 0146

**Fax:** +361 429 0147

**Email:** [vamos@congressline.hu](mailto:vamos@congressline.hu)

[www.congressline.hu/pain2014](http://www.congressline.hu/pain2014)

[www.worldinstituteofpain.org](http://www.worldinstituteofpain.org)